arXiv:0704.3422v2 [math.DG] 8 Apr 2008

ORTHOGONAL COMPLEX STRUCTURES ON DOMAINS IN $\mathbb{R}^4$

SIMON SALAMON AND JEFF VIACLOVSKY

To Nigel Hitchin on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Abstract. An orthogonal complex structure on a domain in $\mathbb{R}^4$ is a complex structure which is integrable and is compatible with the Euclidean metric. This gives rise to a first order system of partial differential equations which is conformally invariant. We prove two Liouville-type uniqueness theorems for solutions of this system, and use these to give an alternative proof of the classification of compact locally conformally flat Hermitian surfaces first proved by Pontecorvo. We also give a classification of non-degenerate quadrics in $\mathbb{CP}^3$ under the action of the conformal group $SO_\circ(1,5)$. Using this classification, we show that generic quadrics give rise to orthogonal complex structures defined on the complement of unknotted solid tori which are smoothly embedded in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Twistor geometry 4
3. Degree one solutions and real quadrics 9
4. Group actions and stabilizers 15
5. Classification of quadrics 20
6. Smooth points 28
7. Topology of the discriminant locus 36
References 40

1. Introduction

Let (M^4, g) denote a 4-dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold.

Definition 1.1. *An* almost complex structure *is an endomorphism $J : TM \to TM$ satisfying $J^2 = -I$. The almost complex structure J is said to be* orthogonal *if it is an orthogonal transformation, that is,*

$$g(Jv, Jw) = g(v, w)$$

for every $v, w \in T_pM$, and preserves orientation. An orthogonal almost complex structure is said to be an orthogonal complex structure *or an* OCS *if J is integrable.*

Date: April 8, 2008.

Partially supported by MIUR (Metriche Riemanniane e Varietà Differenziabili, PRIN 05).

Research partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-0503506.

Remark 1.2. There are several equivalent conditions for integrability:

(a) There exist holomorphic coordinates compatible with J.

(b) The Nijenhuis tensor N^i_{jk} of J vanishes.

(c) The space of $(1,0)$ vector fields relative to J is closed under Lie bracket.

We shall assume the reader is familiar with these conditions, see [Che79].

We are interested in $(\mathbb{R}^4, g_E)$, where g_E is the Euclidean metric. In this case, an OCS is simply a map

$$J : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \{M \in SO(4) \ : \ M^2 = -I\} \tag{1.1}$$

which satisfies any of the equivalent conditions (a), (b), or (c).

The first part of the paper deals with a uniqueness question; the following theorem can be viewed as a "Liouville Theorem". Let $\mathcal{H}^k(\Lambda)$ denote the k-Hausdorff measure of a subset $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^4$. The class of k-times continuously differentiable functions is denoted by C^k.

Theorem 1.3. *Let J be an orthogonal complex structure of class C^1 on $\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \Lambda$, where Λ is a closed set with $\mathcal{H}^1(\Lambda) = 0$. Then either J is constant or J can be maximally extended to the complement $\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{p\}$ of a point. In both cases, J is the image of the standard orthogonal complex structure J_0 on $\mathbb{R}^4$ under a conformal transformation.*

Remark 1.4. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is fairly elementary, and is a generalization of [Woo92, Proposition 6.6], which considered the case in which Λ is a single point.

Remark 1.5. This theorem is somewhat reminiscent of the well-known Liouville Theorem in conformal geometry on $\mathbb{R}^n$ due to Caffarelli-Gidas-Spruck [CGS89], which generalized earlier work of Obata on S^n [Oba72]. In the former, it was proved that a positive constant scalar curvature metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$ conformal to the Euclidean metric must be the image of the standard metric on S^n under a conformal transformation.

Theorem 1.3 says that if the Hausdorff dimension of the singular set is less than one, then it must correspond to a constant OCS. This is sharp, in the sense that there exists OCSes with singular set having dimension one. Our second uniqueness theorem is as follows:

Theorem 1.6. *Let J be an orthogonal complex structure of class C^1 on $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \Lambda$, where Λ is a round circle or a straight line, and assume that J is not conformally equivalent to a constant orthogonal complex structure. Then J is unique up to sign, and Ω is a maximal domain of definition for J.*

Remark 1.7. All such J are conformally equivalent (up to sign) by a conformal transformation identifying the singular circles, and are induced from a "real" quadric in $\mathbb{CP}^3$. This correspondence will be made explicit in Section 3. These examples arise in Pontecorvo's classification of locally conformally flat Hermitian surfaces, and correspond geometrically to locally conformally flat Hermitian metrics on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times S_g$, where S_g is a Riemann surface of genus $g \geqslant 2$ [Pon92b]. In Section 3, using Theorems 1.3 and 1.6, we will give a new proof of Pontecorvo's classification.

Remark 1.8. We remark that theorems of Bernard Shiffman [Shi68] and Errett Bishop [Bis64] are crucial for the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.6, respectively. These theorems give conditions for when the closure of an analytic set is an analytic set, and are generalizations of the classical theorem of Thullen-Remmert-Stein, see Section 3.1.

Let g_{rnd} denote a "round" metric on the sphere with positive constant curvature. Since $(S^4 \setminus \{p\}, g_{rnd})$ is conformally equivalent to $(\mathbb{R}^4, g_E)$, all of our theorems can of course be phrased on S^4. The twistor space of (S^4, g_{rnd}) is $\mathbb{CP}^3$ – this is the total space of a bundle parametrizing orthogonal almost complex strctures on S^4 – and we let $\pi : \mathbb{CP}^3 \to S^4$ denote the twistor projection with fiber $SO(4)/U(2) = \mathbb{CP}^1$. We will see in Section 2.6 that complex hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{CP}^3$ yield OCSes on subdomains of S^4, wherever such a hypersurface is a single-valued graph. Conversely, any OCS J on a domain Ω yields a holomorphic hypersurface – the graph of J in the twistor space. Therefore the problem of finding OCSes on subdomains is directly related to the geometry of hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{CP}^3$ under the twistor projection. It is well known that, for purely topological reasons, S^4 does not admit any global almost complex structure [Ste51, page 217]; this is of course why we must restrict our attention to proper subdomains of S^4.

In Section 5, we give a classification of quadric hypersurfaces under the action of the conformal group, and give a canonical form for any quadric. For reasons that will be clear later, we let $(\xi_0, \xi_{12}, W_1, W_2)$ denote coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^4$. The group $SO_\circ(1,5)$ of conformal transformations of S^4 acts on $\mathbb{CP}^3$, and is a subgroup of the holomorphic automorphism group $PGL(4, \mathbb{C})$ of $\mathbb{CP}^3$, see Section 2.7.

Theorem 1.9. *Any non-singular quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^3$ is equivalent under the action of $SO_\circ(1,5)$ to the zero set of*

$$e^{\lambda+i\nu}{\xi_0}^2 + e^{-\lambda+i\nu}{\xi_{12}}^2 + e^{\mu-i\nu}W_1^2 + e^{-\mu-i\nu}W_2^2,$$

where $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$, or to the zero set of

$$i(\xi_0^2 + \xi_{12}^2) - k\xi_0 W_1 + k\xi_{12} W_2 - \xi_0 W_2 + \xi_{12} W_1, \tag{1.2}$$

where $k \in [0, 1)$.

Remark 1.10. For the complete classification, there are some additional relations required on (λ, μ, ν) in the diagonalizable case, see Lemma 5.8 below, but k is a complete invariant in the second case. To classify the entire moduli space of quadrics, one needs also to consider singular quadrics; this will appear in a forthcoming paper.

Using this we can completely describe the geometry of non-degenerate quadrics under the twistor projection π. The discriminant locus of a quadric $\mathscr{Q}$ is the subset of points $p \in S^4$ for which $\pi^{-1}(p) \cap \mathscr{Q}$ has cardinality different from 2, see Section 3.4.

Theorem 1.11. *Let $\mathscr{Q}$ be a non-singular quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^3$. There are three possibilities:*

(0) $\mathscr{Q}$ is a real quadric with discriminant locus a circle in S^4, and $\mathscr{Q}$ contains all of the twistor lines over the circle.

(1) $\mathscr{Q}$ does not contain any twistor lines. In this case, the discriminant locus is a torus $T^2 \subset S^4$ with an smooth unknotted embedding.

(2) $\mathscr{Q}$ contains exactly one or exactly two twistor lines. The discriminant locus is a singular torus pinched at one or two points, respectively.

Remark 1.12. In Case (1), "unknotted" means that the discriminant locus is isotopic to a standard torus $T^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \subset S^4$. This is equivalent to saying that T^2 bounds an embedded solid torus $S^1 \times D^2 \subset S^4$.

As discussed above, the quadrics in Case (0) are all conformally equivalent, and yield well-defined OCSes on the complement of a circle or line in $\mathbb{R}^4$. In Case (1) we have

Theorem 1.13. *Any quadric containing no twistor lines yields two distinct well-defined orthogonal complex structures J_1 and J_2 on $S^4 \setminus C$, where $C = S^1 \times D^2$ is a solid torus.*

We mention that the pair of OCSes on an open set of S^4 induced by a quadric determines a bi-hermitian structure in the sense of [Pon97]. See [GHR84, Kob99, AGG99, Hit] for interesting generalizations of this concept to situations in which there is no integrable twistor space. There is also a close relation of the work in this paper to the notion of harmonic morphism; related work and examples may be found in [Bai92], [BW03b], [BW03a], [GW93], [Woo92].

The methods of this paper involve twistor theory, complex analytic geometry, and representation theory. Our study of quadrics began with the realization of a few specific examples, but a considerable amount of effort was required to formulate the appropriate canonical forms (Theorem 1.9) and then to establish the behavior arising from generic orbits of the conformal group. The proofs of Theorems 1.11 and 1.13 are completed in Sections 6 and 7, by combining the above techniques with some special direct calculations and topological arguments involving branched coverings.

In a forthcoming paper we will give some related results for the higher-dimensional case of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

1.1. **Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank Vestislav Apostolov, Paul Gauduchon, Nigel Hitchin, Claude LeBrun and Max Pontecorvo for insightful discussions on complex structures. We also thank Denis Auroux and John Morgan for very helpful conversations regarding the topology of branched coverings. The authors worked together at both the Centro De Giorgi in Pisa and the Institute for Mathematical Sciences in London.

2. TWISTOR GEOMETRY

In this section, we discuss some elementary 4-dimensional twistor geometry. This material is known (see [AHS78, Ati79, Pon92b], [Woo92, Section 6]); we must include it here for notation and to set our conventions, but we omit proofs of the key results.

2.1. **Tangent vectors and differentials.** We consider $\mathbb{R}^4$ and take coordinates x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2. Letting $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ and $\overline{z}_j = x_j - iy_j$, define complex one-forms

$$dz_j = dx_j + idy_j, \quad d\overline{z}_j = dx_j - idz_j,$$

and tangent vectors

$$\partial/\partial z_j = (1/2)\left(\partial/\partial x_j - i\partial/\partial y_j\right), \quad \partial/\partial \overline{z}_j = (1/2)\left(\partial/\partial x_j + i\partial/\partial y_j\right).$$

The standard complex structure $J_0 : T\mathbb{R}^4 \to T\mathbb{R}^4$ on $\mathbb{R}^4$ is given by

$$J_0(\partial/\partial x_j) = \partial/\partial y_j, \quad J_0(\partial/\partial y_j) = -\partial/\partial x_j.$$

Complexify the tangent space, and let $T^{(1,0)}(J_0) = \operatorname{span}\{\partial/\partial z_1, \partial/\partial z_2\}$ be the i-eigenspace and $T^{(0,1)}(J_0) = \operatorname{span}\{\partial/\partial\overline{z}_1, \partial/\partial\overline{z}_2\}$ the $-i$-eigenspace of J_0. The map J_0 also induces an endomorphism of 1-forms by

$$J_0(\omega)(v_1) = \omega(J_0^{\top} v_1) = -\omega(J_0 v_1),$$

which satisfies

$$J_0(dx_j) = dy_j, \quad J_0(dy_j) = -dx_j.$$

Then $\Lambda^{1,0}(J_0) = \operatorname{span}\{dz_1, dz_2\}$ is the $-i$-eigenspace and $\Lambda^{0,1}(J_0) = \operatorname{span}\{d\overline{z}_1, d\overline{z}_2\}$ is the $+i$-eigenspace of J_0.

2.2. **Quaternionic vectors and matrices.** A quaternion $q = z_1 + jz_2$ is determined by a pair of complex numbers $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. In the early sections of this paper, we shall identify $\mathbb{C}^4 = \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$ by setting

$$(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) = (c_1 + jc_2,\ c_3 + jc_4).$$

Moreover, we shall view $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}^2$ as a *right* $\mathbb{H}$-module, and use $j_r : \mathbb{H}^2 \to \mathbb{H}^2$ to denote right multiplication by j:

$$j_r(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) = (-\overline{c}_2, \overline{c}_1, -\overline{c}_4, \overline{c}_3).$$

The composition $\overline{j_r}$ of j_r followed by complex conjugation is represented by the matrix

$$\mathbb{J}_r = \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

(This 2×2 matrix is sometimes denoted by J since it represents a standard almost complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^2$, though that notation would be confusing in our context.) Thus, right multiplication by the quaternion j on a column vector is the mapping $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathbb{J}_r\overline{\mathbf{v}}$. For example, the first column of (2.1) is the transpose of $j_r(1, 0, 0, 0)$.

We may now define $GL(2, \mathbb{H})$ as the subgroup of $GL(4, \mathbb{C})$ consisting of those 4×4 matrices G for which

$$G\mathbb{J}_r = \mathbb{J}_r\overline{G}, \tag{2.3}$$

and $\det G \neq 0$. In these terms, $GL(2,\mathbb{H})$ consists of matrices of the form

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.4}$$

where each 2×2 submatrix has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 \\ -\overline{c}_2 & \overline{c}_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It turns out that $\det G$ is real and positive, and $SL(2,\mathbb{H})$ is defined to be the 15-dimensional subgroup of $GL(2,\mathbb{H})$ defined by the condition $\det G = 1$. It is in fact isomorphic to the connected group $Spin_{\circ}(1,5)$.

2.3. Twistor space of S^4.

The twistor projection $\pi : \mathbb{CP}^3 \to \mathbb{HP}^1$ is given by

$$\pi([z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4]) = [z_1 + jz_2, z_3 + jz_4], \tag{2.5}$$

where we use right multplication to define quaternionic projective space, building on the notation of Section 2.2. Since $\mathbb{HP}^1$ is naturally isometric to S^4 with the round metric, we can regard the twistor projection as a mapping $\pi : \mathbb{CP}^3 \to S^4$.

For reasons that shall soon become apparent, we shall now denote a point in $\mathbb{CP}^3$ as $[\xi_0, \xi_{12}, W_1, W_2]$, and consider a fixed quaternion $q = z_1 + jz_2$. With this new notation, the fiber $\mathbb{CP}^1 = \pi^{-1}([1,q])$ is given by

$$W_1 + jW_2 = q(\xi_0 + j\xi_{12}) = \xi_0 z_1 - \xi_{12}\overline{z}_2 + j(\xi_0 z_2 + \xi_{12}\overline{z}_1). \tag{2.6}$$

This clearly exhibits the fiber as a holomorphic curve in $\mathbb{CP}^3$.

In (2.6), ξ_0 and ξ_{12} cannot both vanish, and the fiber is parametrized by $[\xi_0, \xi_{12}] \in \mathbb{CP}^1$. The fiber over infinity is given by points of the form $[0, 0, W_1, W_2]$, with $[W_1, W_2] \in \mathbb{CP}^1$.

2.4. The twistor fiber.

There are two standard models of the twistor fiber, namely

$$Z_2^+ = \{\text{maximal oriented isotropic complex planes in } \mathbb{C}^4\},$$
$$\mathcal{J}_2^+ = \{J \in SO(4) \,:\, J^2 = -I\}.$$

To define an isomorphism between these models, let $\xi_0, \xi_{12} \in \mathbb{C}$, and define 1-forms

$$\eta_1 = \xi_0 dz_1 - \xi_{12} d\overline{z}_2, \qquad \eta_2 = \xi_0 dz_2 + \xi_{12} d\overline{z}_1.$$

If $\xi_0 \neq 0$ then the span of the η_i defines a maximal isotropic subspace in $\mathbb{R}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^4$, where we think of $\mathbb{C}^4$ as the space of complex 1-forms $\Lambda^1_{\mathbb{C}} = \Lambda^{(1,0)} \oplus \Lambda^{(0,1)}$.

Define $\psi : \mathbb{CP}^1 \to Z_2^+$ by $\psi([\xi_0, \xi_{12}]) = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$.

Proposition 2.1. *The map ψ is a biholomorphism, where Z_2^+ has the complex structure as a Hermitian symmetric space.*

We will henceforth use this isomorphism to identify Z_2^+ with $\mathbb{CP}^1$. Next, let $J \in \mathcal{J}_2^+$, then J determines a space of complex $(1,0)$ forms, $\Lambda^{1,0}(J)$. In general, an endomorphism J is an orthogonal map (with respect to the Euclidean metric) if and only if $\Lambda^{1,0}(J)$ is an isotropic subspace with respect to the complexified Euclidean inner product. This gives an isomorphism from $\mathcal{J}_2^+$ to Z_2^+. For the inverse map, simply write a maximal isotropic subspace as a graph over $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{R}^4$, this graph is the corresponding J. Note there is a natural $SO(4)$ action on $\mathcal{J}_2^+$ by conjugation, and for which the stabilizer of any point is $U(2)$, so $\mathcal{J}_2^+$ is naturally isomorphic to $SO(4)/U(2)$.

Proposition 2.2. *Under this isomorphism, the matrix $J \in \mathcal{J}_2^+$, corresponding to the point $\psi([1,\, \xi{=}f{+}ig]) \in Z_2^+$ is given by*

$$J = \frac{-1}{1+|\xi|^2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1-|\xi|^2 & 2g & 2f \\ -1+|\xi|^2 & 0 & 2f & -2g \\ -2g & -2f & 0 & 1-|\xi|^2 \\ -2f & 2g & -1+|\xi|^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 2.3. Note that with our conventions, the standard complex structure J_0 on $\mathbb{R}^4$ corresponds to $[1,0] \in \mathbb{CP}^1$, or rather the matrix $\mathbb{J}_r$ of (2.1).

2.5. **Holomorphic coordinates.** Next, let $\mathcal{Z}_+(\mathbb{R}^4)$ denote the twistor bundle of $\mathbb{R}^4$. As a smooth manifold, this is a product $\mathcal{Z}_+(\mathbb{R}^4) = Z_2^+ \times \mathbb{R}^4$, but it carries a special complex structure defined as follows: on the horizontal space (tangent to $\mathbb{R}^4$), the complex structure is defined tautologically, while the vertical space (tangent to $\mathbb{CP}^1$) carries a canonical complex structure.

We next find holomorphic coordinates on $\mathcal{Z}_+(\mathbb{R}^4)$. Motivated from (2.6), consider the functions

$$W_1 = \xi_0 z_1 - \xi_{12}\overline{z}_2, \qquad W_2 = \xi_0 z_2 + \xi_{12}\overline{z}_1. \tag{2.7}$$

Define the map $\Psi : Z_2^+ \times \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus \mathbb{CP}^1$ by

$$\Psi\big([\xi_0, \xi_{12}],\, (z_1, z_2)\big) = [\xi_0, \xi_{12}, W_1, W_2]. \tag{2.8}$$

Theorem 2.4. *The map Ψ is a biholomorphism from $Z_2^+ \times \mathbb{R}^4$ to $\mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus \mathbb{CP}^1$, where $Z_2^+ \times \mathbb{R}^4$ has the complex structure J_1 as the twistor space of $\mathbb{R}^4$.*

The missing fiber $\mathbb{CP}^1_\infty$ is given by points with the first two coordinates equal to zero. By adding this missing twistor fiber over the point at infinity, and since $S^4 \setminus \{p\}$ is conformally equivalent to $\mathbb{R}^4$, we obtain

Corollary 2.5. *The map Ψ can be extended to a biholomorphism*

$$\hat{\Psi} : \mathcal{Z}_+(S^4) \to \mathbb{CP}^3. \tag{2.9}$$

Remark 2.6. It is not hard to verify that under this identification, the twistor projection indeed corresponds to the quaternionic projection discussed in the beginning of this section.

2.6. **Complex hypersurfaces and orthogonal complex structures.** We next explain the correspondence between OCSes and holomorphic submanifolds of $\mathbb{CP}^3$. Background results on this aspect of twistor theory can be found in [ES85, Bes87, dBN98].

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be a domain. If J is an OCS defined on Ω, then the graph $J(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ is a holomorphic submanifold.*

Conversely, let $H \subset \pi^{-1}(\Omega)$ be a holomorphic submanifold such that H intersects each fiber $\mathbb{CP}^1$ in exactly one point. Then H is the graph of an OCS.

Proof. This fact is well known, but we provide a short proof in our setting for completeness. In each case, we can write the graph or hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^2$ as

$$\{\phi(z_1, z_2),\ (z_1, z_2)\},$$

where $\phi : \Omega \to \mathbb{CP}^1$. Let us assume $\phi(z) \neq [0, 1]$ for z in some open subset $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$. Then taking an affine coordinate on $\mathbb{CP}^1$, we let

$$\phi(z_1, z_2) = [1,\ a(z_1, z_2)],$$

where $a : \Omega_1 \to \mathbb{C}$. Consider the subset $\mathbb{C} \times \Omega_1$ of the total space. On this subset, the twistor complex structure has $\Lambda^{(1,0)}$ spanned by the forms

$$\{da,\ \omega_1 = dz_1 - a d\overline{z}_2,\ \omega_2 = dz_2 + a d\overline{z}_1\}.$$

Clearly, $J(\Omega_1)$ is a holomorphic submanifold if and only if the map J is holomorphic, with the complex structure on Ω_1 induced by J itself. This is satisfied if and only if

$$da = a_1 dz_1 + a_2 dz_2 + a_{\overline{1}} d\overline{z}_1 + a_{\overline{2}} d\overline{z}_2 = c_1(dz_1 - a d\overline{z}_2) + c_2(dz_2 + a d\overline{z}_1).$$

This clearly implies the equations

$$a a_1 + a_{\overline{2}} = 0, \qquad a a_2 - a_{\overline{1}} = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

We next write the equations for J to be integrable. On the set Ω_1, define

$$v_1 = a\, \partial/\partial z_1 + \partial/\partial \overline{z}_2, \qquad v_2 = a\, \partial/\partial z_2 - \partial/\partial \overline{z}_1.$$

Note that v_1 and v_2 span $T^{(0,1)}(J)$. For J to be integrable, it suffices to show that $d\omega^1$ and $d\omega^2$ have no $(0, 2)$ component relative to J, that is,

$$d\omega^1(v_1, v_2) = 0, \qquad d\omega^2(v_1, v_2) = 0.$$

A simple calculation results in equations identical to (2.10). The proof is finished by performing an analogous argument on the set $\Omega_2 = \{z \in \Omega : \phi(z) \neq [1, 0]\}$. □

A corollary of the above proof is

Corollary 2.8. *J is integrable if and only if*

$$\phi : \Omega \to \mathbb{CP}^1$$

is almost-holomorphic with respect to J itself.

2.7. **Action of the conformal group.** We denote by $SO_\circ(1,5)$ the identity component of the group $O(1,5)$, which is the group of orientation preserving conformal automorphisms of S^4, [Kob95]. There is an induced action of the group $SO_\circ(1,5)$ on $\mathcal{Z}_+(S^4)$ that we first define abstractly. Let $\phi : S^4 \to S^4$ be a conformal automorphism. If we identify the fiber over a point p with the set of orthogonal maps $J : T_pS^4 \to T_pS^4$ with $J^2 = -I$, then the required action is

$$J_p \mapsto J_{\phi(p)} = (\phi_*)_p J_p (\phi_*)_p^{-1}. \tag{2.11}$$

The right hand side is a valid orthogonal map, since ϕ satisfies $\phi_* \in CO(4)$.

We shall now interpret (2.11) in terms of the group $SL(2,\mathbb{H})$ of restricted quaternionic transformations defined in Section 2.2. A 2×2 quaternionic matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a} & \tilde{b} \\ \tilde{c} & \tilde{d} \end{pmatrix},$$

acts on $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$ as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto M \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}q_1 + \tilde{b}q_2 \\ \tilde{c}q_1 + \tilde{d}q_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.12}$$

If we identify $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C}^4$ and take $M \in SL(2,\mathbb{H})$, then there is an induced action on both $\mathbb{CP}^3$ and $S^4 = \mathbb{HP}^1$. The latter is given by

$$[q_1, q_2] \mapsto [\tilde{a}q_1 + \tilde{b}q_2,\ \tilde{c}q_1 + \tilde{d}q_2], \qquad [q_1, q_2] \in \mathbb{HP}^1. \tag{2.13}$$

Using stereographic projection, the corresponding conformal map in $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{H}$ is given by

$$q \mapsto (\tilde{c} + \tilde{d}q)(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}q)^{-1},$$

since, by convention, we have used *right* quaternionic multiplication to define $\mathbb{HP}^1$.

Proposition 2.9. *Under the identification* $\hat{\Psi}\colon \mathcal{Z}_+(S^4) \to \mathbb{CP}^3$ *from (2.9), the two actions of* $SO_\circ(1,5)$ *and* $SL(2,\mathbb{H})$ *coincide.*

This result is well-known and can be found in [Ati79, Pon92b].

Remark 2.10. For later use, we record the following. The inversion $q \to q^{-1}$ lifts to the automorphism of $\mathbb{CP}^3$ defined by

$$[\xi_0, \xi_{12}, W_1, W_2] \to [W_1, W_2, \xi_0, \xi_{12}]. \tag{2.14}$$

3. Degree one solutions and real quadrics

In this section, we will consider degree one solutions, and prove Theorem 1.3. We will also introduce the notion of a real quadric from the algebraic point of view, examine its geometry under the twistor projection, and prove Theorem 1.6.

3.1. **Removal of Singularities.** We quote two crucial theorems which will be used later in this section. The first is due to Bernard Shiffman. We refer the reader to [EG92, Chapter 2] for background on Hausdorff measures.

Theorem 3.1. *(*[Shi68]*). Let U be open in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and let E be closed in U. Let A be a pure complex k-dimensional analytic set in $U \setminus E$, and let A' be the closure of A in U. If E has Hausdorff $(2k-1)$-measure zero then A' is a pure k-dimensional analytic set in U.*

The second theorem we will use is the following theorem of Errett Bishop.

Theorem 3.2. *(*[Bis64, Lemma 9]*) Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$, and B a proper analytic subset of U. Let A be an analytic subset of $U \setminus B$ of pure complex dimension k such that $\overline{A} \cap B$ has $2k$-dimensional Hausdorff measure zero. Then $\overline{A} \cap U$ is analytic.*

The difference from Shiffman's Theorem is that the set B is required to be an analytic subset. The idea of the proof is the same, by expressing A as a finite branched holomorphic covering, and then using a removable singularity theorem (the proof of Shiffman is in fact based on Bishop's proof).

Both of these theorems are generalizations of the classical theorem of Thullen-Remmert-Stein which requires the stronger assumption that the set B be contained in a subvariety of *strictly* lower dimension [Thu35, RS53].

3.2. **Degree one solutions.** A degree one hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^3$ is given by a single linear equation

$$c_1\xi_0 + c_2\xi_{12} + c_3W_1 + c_4W_2 = 0,$$

where $\xi_0, \xi_{12}, W_1, W_2$ are our chosen coordinates and c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 are constants.

Proposition 3.3. *A hyperplane in $\mathbb{CP}^3$ hits every fiber over S^4 in exactly one point, except over one point in S^4, over which it contains a twistor line.*

Proof. Since the twistor lines are linear, a hyperplane P will intersect a twistor line in exactly one point or will contain the line. Since S^4 is not homeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2$, P must contain at least one twistor line. Furthermore, it must contain exactly one twistor line because any two projective lines in P must intersect. ☐

We next prove Theorem 1.3 from the Introduction:

Proof (of Theorem 1.3). Consider the graph of J in $\mathbb{CP}^3$. It is a C^1 complex hypersurface of $\mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus (\Lambda \times \mathbb{CP}^1)$. Such a hypersurface is analytic by a standard regularity theorem in several complex variables [Gun90]. From our assumption, we have $\mathcal{H}^3(\Lambda \times \mathbb{CP}^1) = 0$. We can then use the above theorem of Shiffman [Shi68], to conclude that the closure of the graph of J, $\overline{J(\Omega)}$ is an analytic subvariety of $\mathbb{CP}^3$. Note that most fiber $\mathbb{CP}^1$s transversely intersect $\overline{J(\Omega)}$ in one point, this implies $\overline{J(\Omega)}$ is a degree one subvariety, which must therefore be linear [GH94, page 173], [Mum95, Chapter 5]. The conformal equivalence now follows from the fact that $SO(5) \subset SO(1,5)$ acts transitively on the dual projective space $(\mathbb{CP}^3)^*$ parametrizing hyperplanes in $\mathbb{CP}^3$. ☐

Note that in $\mathbb{R}^4$, the corresponding solution of the system (2.10) is

$$a(z_1,z_2)=-\frac{c_1+c_3z_1+c_4z_2}{c_2-c_3\overline{z}_2+c_4\overline{z}_1}.$$

The singular point is located where both the numerator and denominator simultaneously vanish, which corresponds to the twistor line contained in the hyperplane.

Remark 3.4. In the case that Λ is a finite collection of points, one just needs to use the theorem of Thullen-Remmert-Stein. This was in fact well-known to experts, we thank Paul Gauduchon and Claude LeBrun for informing us of this fact. As mentioned in the Introduction, this was also previously observed in [Woo92].

3.3. **Real quadrics.** For notational purposes, it is convenient to re-order the coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^4$ by means of the change of basis

$$[\xi_0,\xi_{12},W_1,W_2]\to[\xi_0,W_1,\xi_{12},W_2]. \tag{3.1}$$

Letting P denote the change of basis matrix, we define

$$\mathbb{J}=P\,\mathbb{J}_rP. \tag{3.2}$$

This will lead to an alternative description of $GL(2,\mathbb{H})$ that will be developed in Sections 4 and 5.

A complex symmetric 4×4 matrix Q represents a quadratic form $q\colon\mathbb{C}^4\to\mathbb{C}$ by means of the formula

$$q(\mathbf{v})=\mathbf{v}^\top Q\mathbf{v}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\mathbf{v}$ denotes a column vector. The associated symmetric bilinear form (SBF) can be recovered from the so-called polarization formula

$$\mathbf{v}^\top Q\mathbf{w}=\tfrac{1}{2}\big(q(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{w})-q(\mathbf{v})-q(\mathbf{w})\big). \tag{3.4}$$

Henceforth we shall use the terms “complex symmetric matrix”, “quadratic form” and “SBF” interchangeably, via (3.3) and (3.4).

In order to analyze such forms in the context of the twistor projection $\pi\colon\mathbb{CP}^3\to S^4$, we shall define the quaternionic structure on S^4 by means of the 4×4 matrix $\mathbb{J}$ defined in (3.2), in place of $\mathbb{J}_r$. In other words, we identify $\mathbb{C}^4$ with $\mathbb{H}^2$ in the following way. Set

$$I=\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix},$$

so that

$$\mathbb{J}=\begin{pmatrix}0&-I\\I&0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Multiplication by the quaternion j now corresponds to the mapping $\mathbf{v}\mapsto\mathbb{J}\overline{\mathbf{v}}$.

With this new convention, $\mathfrak{gl}(2,\mathbb{H})$ consists of those linear transformations G of $\mathbb{C}^4$ that commute with this mapping, which in matrix terms means that

$$G\mathbb{J}=\mathbb{J}\overline{G}, \tag{3.5}$$

compare (2.3). Equivalently, we can state

Definition 3.5. *The space* $\mathfrak{gl}(2,\mathbb{H})$ *consists of matrices* $G = \big\{A \,|\, B\big\}$*, using the notation*

$$\big\{A \,|\, B\big\} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -\overline{B} & \overline{A} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.6}$$

for this type of block matrix.

In particular, $\mathbb{J} = \big\{0 \,|\, {-I}\big\}$ is itself in $\mathfrak{gl}(2,\mathbb{H})$.

Since our complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^4$ is endowed with a *quaternionic* structure, whether or not the entries of a 4×4 matrix are real numbers is of no great concern to us. The relevant notion of reality is instead the following

Definition 3.6. *A quadratic form* $q\colon \mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C}$ *is* real *if*

$$q(\mathbb{J}\overline{\mathbf{v}}) = \overline{q(\mathbf{v})}, \tag{3.7}$$

whereas q *is* purely imaginary *if*

$$q(\mathbb{J}\overline{\mathbf{v}}) = -\overline{q(\mathbf{v})}.$$

We can convert this definition into equations for the associated symmetric matrix Q. Using (3.4), the first condition becomes

$$\overline{\mathbf{v}}^{\top}\mathbb{J}^{\top}Q\mathbb{J}\mathbf{w} = \overline{\mathbf{v}^{\top}Q\mathbf{w}},$$

for all $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$. This is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{J}^{\top}Q\mathbb{J} = \overline{Q}, \tag{3.8}$$

which is the same as (3.5) (with Q in place of G). Hence, the symmetric matrix Q represents a real quadratic form (in the sense of the definition above) if and only if

$$Q = \big\{A \,|\, B\big\} \in \mathfrak{gl}(2,\mathbb{H}). \tag{3.9}$$

The fact that Q is symmetric implies that $(\overline{Q\mathbb{J}})^{\top} + Q\mathbb{J} = 0$, and so

$$\tilde{Q} = Q\mathbb{J} = \big\{B \,|\, {-A}\big\} \in \mathfrak{sp}(2), \tag{3.10}$$

where we identify the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(2)$ with $\mathfrak{gl}(2,\mathbb{H}) \cap \mathfrak{u}(4)$. In this case, the 2×2 matrix A is symmetric, while $B \in \mathfrak{u}(2)$.

Definition 3.7. *The real 10-dimensional space of SBFs characterized by the equivalent conditions (3.9), (3.10) will be denoted henceforth by* Σ*.*

An obvious element of Σ is represented by the identity matrix

$$\mathbb{I} = \big\{I \,|\, 0\big\}. \tag{3.11}$$

Moreover, we have

Lemma 3.8. *A SBF* Q *is real if and only if* iQ *is purely imaginary, and any SBF is represented uniquely by a symmetric matrix*

$$Q = Q_1 + iQ_2, \tag{3.12}$$

where $Q_1, Q_2 \in \Sigma$*.*

Proof. The first statement is an immediate consequence of (3.8). The second follows from setting

$$Q_1 = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(Q + \mathbb{J}^\top \overline{Q}\mathbb{J}\big), \qquad iQ_2 = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(Q - \mathbb{J}^\top \overline{Q}\mathbb{J}\big).$$

Note that Q_2 (resepctively, Q_1) vanishes if and only if Q is real (resepctively, purely imaginary). □

Recall that $GL(2,\mathbb{H})$ denotes the group of invertible matrices of the form (3.6), and $SL(2,\mathbb{H})$ those with determinant equal to 1.

Lemma 3.9. *If Q is a real SBF and $G \in GL(2,\mathbb{H})$ then $G^\top QG$ is also real.*

Proof. We have

$$\mathbb{J}^\top(G^\top QG)\mathbb{J} \;=\; (G\mathbb{J})^\top Q(G\mathbb{J}) = (\mathbb{J}\overline{G})^\top Q(\mathbb{J}\overline{G}) = \overline{G}^\top(\mathbb{J}^\top Q\mathbb{J})\overline{G}) \;=\; \overline{G^\top QG},$$

as required. □

3.4. **Geometry of real quadrics.** Let $\mathscr{Q}$ be a quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^3$. Then $\mathscr{Q}$ intersects each twistor fiber in one of three possible cases: (0) the entire $\mathbb{CP}^1$, (1) one point; (2) two points. Let $p \in S^4 = \mathbb{R}^4 \cup \{\infty\}$. If Case (0) or (1) happens over p, then we say that p belongs to D, the *discriminant locus*. We write the latter as a disjoint union $D = D_0 \cup D_1$, where $p \in D_0$ if and only if Case (0) happens over p.

We shall say that a quadric $\mathscr{Q} \subset \mathbb{CP}^3$ is *real* if it is represented by a SBF that is real in the sense of Definition 3.6. The collection of real quadrics $\mathscr{Q}$ is an $\mathbb{RP}^9$, with maximal rank quadrics corresponding to an open subset. In the following theorem we will show that a non-degenerate real quadric is uniquely determined by its discriminant locus.

Theorem 3.10. *If $\mathscr{Q}$ is a real non-degenerate quadric, then the discriminant locus D is a geometric circle $S^1 \subset S^4$, and $D = D_0$. Furthermore, $\mathscr{Q}$ is uniquely determined by this circle.*

Proof. Recalling the notation (2.2) and (3.6), we introduce the matrix

$$Q_0 = \big\{0 \,|\, K\big\}. \tag{3.13}$$

This is compatible with the convention of Section 3.3 and the use of coordinates $[\xi_0, W_1, \xi_{12}, W_2]$ on $\mathbb{CP}^3$ (see (3.2)). The corresponding quadratic form is therefore

$$-2\xi_0 W_2 + 2\xi_{12}W_1.$$

Using (2.7), the fiber equation can be written

$$0 = -\xi_0(\xi_0 z_2 + \xi_{12}\overline{z}_1) + \xi_{12}(\xi_0 z_1 - \xi_{12}\overline{z}_2) = -z_2\xi_0^2 + (z_1 - \overline{z}_1)\xi_0\xi_{12} - \overline{z}_2\xi_{12}^2.$$

Clearly, all coefficients vanish when $z_2 = 0$ and z_1 is real, which is a line in $\mathbb{R}^4$. The discriminant is

$$-4\big((\mathfrak{Im}\, z_1)^2 + |z_2|^2\big),$$

which vanishes exactly along the same line. The quadric is invariant under the inversion (2.14), so it also contains the twistor line over infinity, consequently $D = D_0$ is a circle. It will be shown below that all real quadrics are equivalent under the conformal action (Proposition 4.2). Since the conformal group maps circles to circles, this proves the first statement.

We next prove the uniqueness statement. First, fix an S^1. Let $\mathscr{Q}_1$ and $\mathscr{Q}_2$ be two real non-degenerate quadrics with discriminant locus this fixed S^1. The lift of the discriminant locus is $\pi^{-1}(D) = S^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ (since an oriented $\mathbb{CP}^1$-bundle over S^1 is trivial), and it disconnects $\mathscr{Q}_2$ into two components. So just consider a connected component C_2 of $\mathscr{Q}_2 \setminus (S^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1)$. Note that C_2 is a degree one hypersurface. Now look at the closure of C_2 in $\mathbb{CP}^3$, and observe that

$$C_2 \setminus \mathscr{Q}_1 \ \subset \ \mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus \mathscr{Q}_1.$$

If $C_2 \setminus \mathscr{Q}_1$ is empty, then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise $C_2 \cap \mathscr{Q}_1$ is a subvariety of dimension one. So we can then apply Bishop's Theorem ([Bis64, Lemma 9] = Theorem 3.2) to conclude that the closure of C_2 is an algebraic variety in $\mathbb{CP}^3$. But again a degree one subvariety must be a linear hyperplane, so then $\mathscr{Q}_2$ is degenerate, a contradiction unless $\mathscr{Q}_1 = \mathscr{Q}_2$. ☐

We note that the quadratic formula yields an explicit solution for the OCS induced by the above quadric,

$$a(z_1, z_2) = \frac{i\,\mathfrak{Im}\, z_1 \pm \sqrt{-(\mathfrak{Im}\, z_1)^2 - |z_2|^2}}{\overline{z}_2}. \tag{3.14}$$

Theorem 3.10 implies that this OCS is in fact invariant under all conformal transformations which fix the singular S^1. As a corollary of the above proof we have Theorem 1.6 from the Introduction:

Proof (of Theorem 1.6). Given a circle $S^1 \subset S^4$, let $\mathscr{Q}_1$ be the unique real quadric with discriminant locus this S^1. In the above proof, now let C_2 be the graph of an OCS defined on $\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus S^1$. If C_2 is not equal to a branch of the quadric, then it must be a hyperplane, in which case the corresponding OCS is conformally equivalent to a constant OCS. The proof is finished by noting that the two branches of a real quadric induce $\pm J$. It is also clear that the corresponding OCS cannot be extended *smoothly* to any larger domain. ☐

Remark 3.11. It is easy to see from the above proof that Theorem 1.6 remains valid under the more general assumption that Λ is a finite union of circles.

3.5. **Uniformization.** In this section, we show that Theorems 1.3 and 1.6, together with some well-known results in conformal and Hermitian geometry, give a new proof of Pontecorvo's classification of locally conformally flat Hermitian surfaces. As pointed out in [Pon92b], this was also stated without proof in [Boy88].

Theorem 3.12 (Pontecorvo [Pon92b]). *If (M, g, J) is a compact connected locally conformally flat Hermitian surface, then it is conformally equivalent to one of the following:*

1) A complex torus or hyperelliptic surface with the flat metric.

2) A Hopf surface (finitely covered by $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} = S^1 \times S^3$) with the Hermitian metric of Vaisman [Vai82].

3) A product $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times S_g$ *where* S_g *is a Riemann surface of genus* $g \geq 2$ *with metric the product of the* $+1$ *curvature metric on* $\mathbb{CP}^1$ *and the* -1 *curvature metric on* S_g*.*

Proof. A result of Gauduchon states that, under the conditions assumed, the scalar curvature $R \geq 0$, and $R \equiv 0$ implies that g is Kähler [Gau96, Theorem 1] (see also [Boy86]). Since $R \geq 0$, [SY94, Theorem 4.5] implies that the developing map is injective, and has image Ω, which is the domain of discontinuity of the Kleinian group $\pi_1(M)$, with limit set $\Lambda = S^4 \setminus \Omega$. By [SY88, Lemma 1.1], there are 2 possibilities: M admits a conformal metric of strictly positive scalar curvature, or M admits a metric of identically zero scalar curvature. In the case of positive scalar curvature, [SY88, Theorem 4.7] and [Nay97, Corollary 3.4] imply that $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda) < 1$. By Theorem 1.3, the complex structure extends to the standard OCS on $S^4 \setminus \{p\}$. This implies that $\pi_1(M)$ is a subgroup generated by $U(2)$, dilations, and translations. The only possible compact quotients are finitely covered by a torus, or a Hopf surface. The only ones with positive scalar curvature are the latter, in which case $\Lambda = \{p_1, p_2\}$, and the metric is conformal to the scale-invariant Vaisman metric $g = \|z\|^{-2} g_0$ [Vai82]. In the case $R \equiv 0$, the metric is Kähler, so $H^2(M) \neq 0$. Using Bourguignon's Weitzenböck formula [Bou81, Section 8], Lafontaine showed that in this case (M, g) is either flat, or a metric in case 3) [Laf82]. If it is flat, again Theorem 1.3 implies the OCS lifts to the standard OCS on $\mathbb{R}^4$, which is case 1). In case 3), $\Omega = S^4 \setminus S^1$ which is conformally equivalent to $S^2 \times H^2$, where H^2 is hyperbolic space. Theorem 1.6 implies the OCS on M lifts to a unique OCS on $S^2 \times H^2$, which must be the product OCS. Proposition 4.3 below implies that $\pi_1(M) \subset SU(2) \times SO_\circ(1,2)$, which forces $\pi_1(M) \subset SO_\circ(1,2) = PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$. □

Remark 3.13. If an OCS on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ is Kähler, then it must be constant. This follows since the Kählerian condition implies that J is parallel, thus J is constant. If one takes the image of a constant OCS under an inversion, then it is no longer Kähler, but it is locally conformally Kähler. As seen in the above proof, a real quadric also induces a locally conformally Kähler OCS. Any other OCS coming from an algebraic hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^3$ will not be locally conformally Kähler. This follows from the result of Tanno in [Tan72] (see also [Der83, Section 3]), in which it is shown that a locally conformally flat Kählerian space must be locally symmetric. We point out that the proof of the classification in [Pon92b] relies on some special properties of locally conformally Kähler metrics proved in [Pon92a]; our proof avoids this step.

4. Group actions and stabilizers

The main equivalence we will consider is

Definition 4.1. *Two non-zero complex symmetric* 4×4 *matrices* Q, Q' *are* equivalent *if there exists* $G \in SL(2, \mathbb{H})$ *and* $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$ *such that* $Q' = \gamma G^\top Q G$*.*

We plan to study the orbits of the complex 10-dimensional vector space

$$S^2(\mathbb{C}^4) = \Sigma \oplus i\Sigma,$$

under the action of the 17-dimensional group

$$\mathbb{C}^* \times SL(2,\mathbb{H}) = U(1) \times GL(2,\mathbb{H}). \tag{4.1}$$

Observe that $-\mathbb{I}$ belongs to $SL(2,\mathbb{H})$ (see (3.11)), but acts as the identity on Q; indeed, $\mathbb{C}^*$ and $SL(2,\mathbb{H})$ only share an identity element.

Since Q and Q' define the same quadric

$$\mathscr{Q} = \{[\mathbf{v}] : q(\mathbf{v}) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{CP}^3 \tag{4.2}$$

if and only if $Q' = \gamma Q$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$, two quadratic forms are equivalent if and only if their associated quadrics are related by an element of the connected group

$$SL(2,\mathbb{H})/\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong SO_\circ(1,5), \tag{4.3}$$

as discussed in Section 2.7. If Q is non-degenerate, we can use the $\mathbb{C}^*$ action to assume that $\det Q = 1$, but it is not convenient to do this initially. Indeed, we shall allow G to lie in $GL(2,\mathbb{H})$, the action of which preserves the splitting (3.12) by Lemma 3.9. We begin the classification theory of quadrics by discussing the case in which $Q = Q_1$ is itself real in the sense of Definition 3.6. We also suppose that the associated quadric is non-degenerate, meaning that $\operatorname{rank} Q = 4$.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that $Q \in \Sigma$ has rank 4. Then there exists $G \in GL(2,\mathbb{H})$ such that $G^\top Q G = \mathbb{I}$.*

Proof. If $G \in Sp(2)$ so that $G^{-1} = \overline{G}^\top$, then by (3.5),

$$(G^\top Q G)\mathbb{J} = G^\top \tilde{Q}\,\overline{G} = (\operatorname{Ad} G^\top)\tilde{Q} \tag{4.4}$$

in the notation of (3.10). We should therefore concern ourselves with the adjoint action of $Sp(2)$ on its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(2)$. Any adjoint orbit of a compact Lie group must intersect a fundamental Weyl chamber in the Lie algebra of a maximal torus [Ada69]. In the case of $Sp(2)$, we may choose a diagonal maximal torus $U(1)^2$, and coordinates λ, μ on its Lie algebra $\mathbb{R}^2$. The Weyl group includes the reflections in the coordinate axes, so there exists $G \in Sp(2)$ so that

$$(\operatorname{Ad} G^\top)\tilde{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i\mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i\mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & i\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i\mu \\ i\lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i\mu & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{J},$$

with $\lambda, \mu > 0$. Thus

$$G^\top Q G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \big\{0 \,|\, D\big\}, \tag{4.5}$$

where $D = \operatorname{diag}(i\lambda,\, i\mu)$.

By postmultiplying G by $\operatorname{diag}(\lambda^{-1/2}, \mu^{-1/2}, \lambda^{-1/2}, \mu^{-1/2})$, we see that (4.5) is valid for $D = iI$ and some $G \in GL(2,\mathbb{H})$. If we now set $H = \big\{I \,|\, iI\big\}$ then $H^\top H = \big\{0 \,|\, 2iI\big\}$, and

$$2G^\top Q G = H^\top \mathbb{I} H.$$

Replacing G by $\sqrt{2}\,GH^{-1}$ completes the proof. ☐

The stabilizer of $\mathbb{I}$ by the action of $SL(2,\mathbb{H})$ is the group

$$SO(2,\mathbb{H}) = O(4,\mathbb{C}) \cap SL(2,\mathbb{H}), \tag{4.6}$$

where $O(4,\mathbb{C})$ is the set of complex orthogonal matrices characterized by the equation $X^\top X = \mathbb{I}$. The group (4.6) is isomorphic to the group $SO^*(4)$ described by Helgason [Hel01, Ch X, §6]. Both $SO(4)$ and $SO^*(4)$ are real forms of

$$SO(4,\mathbb{C}) \cong SL(2,\mathbb{C}) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} SL(2,\mathbb{C}), \tag{4.7}$$

and as a counterpart of the well-known isomorphism $SO(4) \cong SU(2) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} SU(2)$, we have $SO^*(4) \cong SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} SU(2)$. We next make explicit its action on the real vector space Σ.

Let $Q = Q_1$ continue to be a matrix of the form (3.9) representing a real SBF. Then Q decomposes as the sum of three real symmetric matrices modulo Q_0 defined above in (3.13). To see this, given (3.9), write $A = L + iM$ and $B = -vK + iN$ with $v \in \mathbb{R}$ (later v will be the imaginary part of a complex scalar τ) and L, M, N real. Then

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} L + iM & -vK + iN \\ vK + iN & L - iM \end{pmatrix},$$

and the 2×2 matrices L, M, N are all symmetric. In this way, we have decomposed the tracefree component

$$Q + vQ_0 = \begin{pmatrix} L + iM & iN \\ iN & L - iM \end{pmatrix} = \big\{L + iM \mid iN\big\} \tag{4.8}$$

of Q (relative to Q_0) into the triple (L, M, N).

The above decomposition of Σ into "$9 + 1$" dimensions is invariant under the stabilizer

$$\mathscr{G} = \{G \in SL(2,\mathbb{H}) : G^\top Q_0 G = Q_0\} \tag{4.9}$$

that we describe next.

Proposition 4.3. *The subgroup (4.9) equals the set of matrices*

$$\begin{pmatrix} aR & bR \\ -\bar{b}R & \bar{a}R \end{pmatrix} = \big\{aR \,|\, bR\big\}, \quad \textit{with } R \in SL(2,\mathbb{R}),\ a, b \in \mathbb{C},\ |a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1.$$

It is conjugate to $SO(2,\mathbb{H})$, and isomorphic to $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} SU(2)$.

Proof. Proposition 4.2 (and the fact that $\det \mathbb{I} = 1 = \det Q_0$) implies that there exists $F \in SL(2,\mathbb{H})$ such that

$$F^\top Q_0 F = \mathbb{I}. \tag{4.10}$$

For the sequel, we record one possible choice, namely

$$F = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & -i & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.11}$$

Let $G \in SL(2,\mathbb{H})$. It follows that

$$G^\top G = \mathbb{I} \;\Leftrightarrow\; (FG)^\top Q_0(FG) = F^\top Q_0 F \;\Leftrightarrow\; FGF^{-1} \in \mathscr{G},$$

and $\mathscr{G}$ is certainly conjugate to (4.6).

Now suppose that $G = \{aR \,|\, bR\}$ where $R \in SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ and $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$, so that

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\overline{b} & \overline{a} \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2).$$

Recall the definition (3.13) of Q_0 in terms of the 2×2 matrix K, and observe that $R^\top KR = K$ for all $R \in SL(2,\mathbb{R})$. It follows easily that $G^\top Q_0 G = Q_0$, and we obtain have a homomorphism $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times SU(2) \to \mathscr{G}$ given by

$$(R,U) \mapsto \{aR \,|\, bR\}, \tag{4.12}$$

with kernel $\{(I,I),(-I,-I)\}$. Its surjectivity follows from the fact that the stabilizer $\mathscr{G}$ is effectively a subgroup of (4.7). □

We now continue the main discussion. Fix any $G \in \mathscr{G}$. Then the action of G on (4.8) is determined by setting

$$\begin{pmatrix} L' + iM' & iN' \\ iN' & L' - iM' \end{pmatrix} = G^\top \begin{pmatrix} L + iM & iN \\ iN & L - iM \end{pmatrix} G.$$

In these terms, the representation $\mathscr{G} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^9)$ is characterized by the two separate homomorphisms obtained by seeing what happens when

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} aI & bI \\ -\overline{b}I & \overline{a} \end{pmatrix},$$

equivalently $U = I$ and $R = I$, respectively. The first one is given by

$$(L',\, M',\, N') = (R^\top LR,\; R^\top MR,\; R^\top NR), \quad R \in SL(2,\mathbb{R}).$$

The second requires more complicated notation, but we can set

$$(\Lambda'_{11},\, \Lambda'_{12},\, \Lambda'_{22}) = (U^\top \Lambda_{11} U,\; U^\top \Lambda_{12} U,\; U^\top \Lambda_{22} U), \quad U \in SU(2),$$

in which (for fixed α,β)

$$\Lambda_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{\alpha\beta} + iM_{\alpha\beta} & iN_{\alpha\beta} \\ iN_{\alpha\beta} & L_{\alpha\beta} - iM_{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.13}$$

is the complex 2×2 matrix whose entries are made up from the $\alpha\beta$ entries of L, M, N.

Both of these homomorphisms are built up from elementary double coverings:

Lemma 4.4. *(1) If L is a 2×2 real symmetric matrix then $\pi_1(R)(L) = R^\top LR$ defines a homomorphism from $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ onto the connected group $SO_\circ(1,2)$, with kernel $\{I,-I\}$.*

(2) If Λ is a 2×2 complex symmetric matrix such that $\Lambda K \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ then $\pi_2(U)(\Lambda) = U^\top \Lambda U$ defines a homomorphism from $SU(2)$ onto $SO(3)$, with kernel $\{I,-I\}$.

Proof. (1) The secret is to identify a vector $\mathbf{v} = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with the symmetric matrix

$$L = L_{\mathbf{v}} = \begin{pmatrix} x+y & z \\ z & x-y \end{pmatrix},$$

so that

$$x = \tfrac{1}{2}(L_{11} + L_{22}), \quad y = \tfrac{1}{2}(L_{11} - L_{22}), \quad z = L_{12}. \tag{4.14}$$

Since $R^\top LR$ is symmetric, π_1 is well defined, and its kernel is readily computed. Since $\det L_{\mathbf{v}}$ equals the Lorentzian norm squared of $\mathbf{v}$, the image of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ by π_1 lies inside $SO(1,2)$; indeed it is a Lie subgroup of maximal dimension and must therefore be the connected component of the identity.

(2) This is a partially obscure version of the well-known representation of rotations by unit quaternions. The secret is to identify $\mathbf{w} = (l, m, n) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with the matrix

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_{\mathbf{w}} = \begin{pmatrix} l+im & in \\ in & l-im \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.15}$$

so that $\det \Lambda_{\mathbf{w}} = |\mathbf{w}|^2$. Since

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda K = \begin{pmatrix} in & -l-im \\ l-im & -in \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{su}(2),$$

we have the correct condition on Λ. Moreover

$$(U^\top \Lambda U)K = U^\top \tilde{\Lambda} \overline{U} = (\mathrm{Ad}\, U^\top)\tilde{\Lambda} \in \mathfrak{su}(2),$$

just as in (4.4), and π_2 is equivalent to the adjoint representation of $SU(2)$ on $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. □

Now consider the 3×3 real matrix

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(L_{11}+L_{22}) & \frac{1}{2}(M_{11}+M_{22}) & \frac{1}{2}(N_{11}+N_{22}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(L_{11}-L_{22}) & \frac{1}{2}(M_{11}-M_{22}) & \frac{1}{2}(N_{11}-N_{22}) \\ L_{12} & M_{12} & N_{12} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.16}$$

Its columns encode the matrices L, M, N, or more precisely, the vectors

$$\mathbf{v}_1, \qquad \mathbf{v}_2, \qquad \mathbf{v}_3$$

associated to them via (4.14). This means that if we premultiply X by (the inverse of) an element of $SO_\circ(1,2)$ then this (right) action coincides with that of $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathscr{G}$ on (4.8) via π_1.

As for the rows, these encode the objects

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda_{11}+\Lambda_{22}) & \leftrightarrow & \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w}_1+\mathbf{w}_2) \\ \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda_{11}-\Lambda_{22}) & \leftrightarrow & \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w}_1-\mathbf{w}_2) \\ \Lambda_{12} & \leftrightarrow & \mathbf{w}_3, \end{array}$$

since (4.13) has the same form as the matrix (4.15). This enables us to identify the rows with linear combinations of elements $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_3$ in the fundamental representation of $SO(3)$. This means that if we postmultiply X by an element of $SO(3)$ then this (right) action coincides with that of $SU(2) \subset \mathscr{G}$ on (4.8) via π_2.

We can summarize our discussion by

Proposition 4.5. *The action of $\mathscr{G}$ on (4.8) is given by*

$$G \cdot X = \pi_1(R)^{-1} X \, \pi_2(U),$$

in terms of the isomorphism (4.12) and identification (4.16).

This proposition is effectively saying that the real 3×3 matrix X, or equivalently the triple L, M, N of 2×2 matrices, encodes an element of the tensor product of the adjoint representations $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. We shall exploit this next.

5. CLASSIFICATION OF QUADRICS

Consider the complex symmetric matrix (3.12), whose real part Q_1 we assume to have rank 4. Using Proposition 4.2 and (4.10), we may find $H \in GL(2, \mathbb{H})$ such that $H^\top Q_1 H = Q_0$. Using (4.8), we may write

$$H^\top Q H = Q_0 + i H^\top Q_2 H = i \begin{pmatrix} L + iM & iN \\ iN & L - iM \end{pmatrix} + (1 - iv) Q_0. \tag{5.1}$$

We are at liberty to act on (4.16) by elements of $\mathscr{G}$ in an attempt to simplify L, M, N, but $v \in \mathbb{R}$ is invariant by this action.

The *singular value decomposition* (SVD) of an arbitrary real matrix X of order $m \times n$ asserts that X can be expressed as PDQ where P is a square orthogonal matrix, D a diagonal matrix with non-negative entries, and Q a matrix with orthonormal rows [HJ85, Str80]. Hyperbolic versions of this result are known to hold [Pol04], and we shall now investigate the case $m = n = 3$ in the context of the following definition, whose scope is limited to this section.

Definition 5.1. *We shall say that two real 3×3 matrices X, Y are* congruent *if there exist $P \in SO_\circ(1, 2)$ and $O \in SO(3)$ such that $PXO = Y$. If X is congruent to a diagonal matrix, we shall call it* diagonalizable.

We can then prove

Theorem 5.2. *Any real 3×3 matrix X is diagonalizable or congruent to the matrix*

$$M_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.2}$$

for some $k \geqslant 0$.

This theorem will be established with a series of lemmas that deal with the cases in which the rank of X is respectively $3, 1, 2$.

Lemma 5.3. *If X has rank 3 then it is diagonalizable.*

Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{rank} X = 3$. Consider the matrix

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

representing the Lorentzian inner product on $\mathbb{R}^3$. A matrix P belongs to $O(1,2)$ if and only if $P^\top EP = E$. The matrix $X^\top EX$ is symmetric and represents a quadratic form with the same signature as E. Thus, there exists $O \in SO(3)$ for which

$$O^\top(X^\top EX)O = \begin{pmatrix} y^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -u^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.3}$$

where y, x, u are all non-zero.

We now define P by the equation

$$PXO = \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.4}$$

Comparing (5.3) with (5.4), we see that

$$O^\top(X^\top EX)O = (PXO)^\top E(PXO), \tag{5.5}$$

so that

$$(XO)^\top(P^\top EP - E)(XO) = 0.$$

It follows that $P \in O(1,2)$. Finally, we may ensure that P belongs to the identity component $SO_\circ(1,2)$ by changing the sign of one or both of y, x in (5.4). ☐

Lemma 5.4. *If X has rank 1 then it is either diagonalizable or congruent to M_0.*

Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{rank} X = 1$. Using just the right $SO(3)$ action we transform the first row of X into $(a,0,0)$. Since the three rows of X are proportional, we see that X is congruent to a matrix

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.6}$$

with two zero columns.

Using the left action by $SO_\circ(1,2)$, we may now convert the only non-zero column into one of

$$(x,0,0)^\top, \qquad (0,x,0)^\top, \qquad (1,1,0)^\top, \tag{5.7}$$

according as the Lorentzian norm squared $a^2 - b^2 - c^2$ equals x^2, $-x^2$ or 0. To verify the last case, we first make the third coordinate vanish; we are then free to change the sign of the middle coordinate, and apply a typical element

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cosh t & \sinh t \\ \sinh t & \cosh t \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.8}$$

of $SO(1,1)$. The matrices associated to the first two cases in (5.7) are obviously diagonalizable in the sense of Definition (5.1), while the third gives M_0. □

The final case is

Lemma 5.5. *If X has rank 2 then it is either diagonalizable or congruent to M_k with $k>0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{rank} X = 2$. Using the right $SO(3)$ action we again transform the first row of X into $(a,0,0)$. This time, it follows that X is congruent to a matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ b & e & 0 \\ c & f & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.9}$$

where not both of e,f are zero. Using the action of $SO(2) \subset SO_\circ(1,2)$, we can also assume that $e=0$, whence $f \neq 0$. The second column is now preserved by $SO(1,1)$ acting on the first and second coordinates, and we can use this subgroup to convert the first column into one of

$$(a',0,c)^\top, \qquad (0,b',c)^\top, \qquad (1,\pm 1,c)^\top.$$

In the first subcase, we effectively have a 2×2 block of rank 2, which can be diagonalized by a subgroup $SO(1,1)\times SO(2)$ (as in Lemma 5.3). In the second subcase, we may diagonalize the result using $SO(2)\times SO(2)$ and ordinary SVD. The final subcase yields a matrix congruent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ c & g & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.10}$$

where $g = \pm f \neq 0$.

Applying $SO(2) \subset SO(3)$, convert (5.10) to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta & 0 \\ \cos\theta & \sin\theta & 0 \\ c\cos\theta - g\sin\theta & c\sin\theta + g\cos\theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Choose $\theta = \arctan(c/g)$ so that

$$c\cos\theta - g\sin\theta = 0, \qquad k = c\sin\theta + g\cos\theta > 0.$$

Since $SO_\circ(2,1)$ acts transitively on elements of Lorentzian norm squared $-k^2$, we can act by this group so that the second column becomes $(0,0,k)^\top$. The new first column remains null and orthogonal to the second, and must have the form $(x,x,0)^\top$ with $x \neq 0$. We obtain M_k by applying (5.8). □

Having completed the proof of Theorem 5.2, we can interpret the result in terms of complex 4×4 symmetric matrices.

Corollary 5.6. *Let $Q = Q_1 + iQ_2$ be a SBF, whose real part Q_1 is non-degenerate. Then Q lies in the same $GL(2,\mathbb{H})$-orbit as one of the matrices*

$$\begin{pmatrix} x+iy & 0 & 0 & u+iv-1 \\ 0 & -x+iy & u-iv+1 & 0 \\ 0 & u-iv+1 & -x+iy & 0 \\ u-iv-1 & 0 & 0 & x+iy \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.11}$$

where $x,y,u,v \in \mathbb{R}$, or

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2i & -k & 0 & iv-1 \\ -k & 0 & -iv+1 & 0 \\ 0 & -iv+1 & 2i & k \\ -iv-1 & 0 & k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.12}$$

where $k,v \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the orbit containing (5.11) determines v, yxu, y^2 and the unordered set $\{x^2,u^2\}$, and the orbit of (5.12) determines v and k^2.

Proof. Assume that Q already equals the right-hand side of (5.1). Suppose that X is diagonalizable in the sense of Definition (5.1). We can then find $G \in \mathscr{G}$ such that, having replaced the left-hand side of (4.16) by $G \cdot X$, the new off-diagonal terms

$$L_{11}-L_{22}, \quad L_{12}, \quad M_{22}+M_{11}, \quad M_{12}, \quad N_{11}, \quad N_{22}$$

all vanish. It follows that $L+iM$ is itself diagonal and iN is off-diagonal. Equation (5.4) allows us to choose

$$\begin{array}{rcl} y &=& \frac{1}{2}(L_{11}+L_{22}) \;=\; L_{11} \\ x &=& -\frac{1}{2}(M_{11}-M_{22}) \;=\; -M_{11} \\ u &=& -N_{12} \end{array} \tag{5.13}$$

as the diagonal elements of X, yielding (5.11). If, on the other hand, X is congruent to (5.2) then we immediately obtain (5.12).

For the last statement, note that the canonical forms (5.11) and (5.12) both have real part equal to Q_0, and this property will only be preserved by a subgroup of $\mathscr{G}$. The latter leaves v invariant, and also the similarity class of $X^\top EX$ (see (5.5)). If X is diagonalizable, this class is specified by the set $\{y^2,-x^2,-u^2\}$ of eigenvalues in (5.3), or equivalently by the characteristic coefficients

$$p = y^2-x^2-u^2, \quad q = x^2u^2-u^2y^2-y^2x^2, \quad r = y^2x^2u^2 = d^2. \tag{5.14}$$

Moreover $d = \det X$ is invariant by $\mathscr{G}$. To conclude, note that p,q,d are defined for arbitrary X, and M_k has $p=-k^2$ and $q=0=d$. ☐

While we now have a complete description of the action of $GL(2,\mathbb{H})$, it remains to describe the effect of the group $U(1)$ in Definition 4.1. This amounts to understanding the action induced on the canonical forms (5.11) and (5.12) by multiplying $Q = Q_1+iQ_2$ by $e^{i\theta}$.

Theorem 5.7. *A complex symmetric* 4×4 *matrix* Q *of rank 4 lies in the same* $U(1)\times GL(2,\mathbb{H})$ *orbit as either a diagonal matrix*

$$Q_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}=\operatorname{diag}\big(e^{\lambda+i\nu},\ e^{\mu-i\nu},\ e^{-\lambda+i\nu},\ e^{-\mu-i\nu}\big) \tag{5.15}$$

with $0\leqslant\lambda\leqslant\mu$ *and* $\nu\in[0,\pi/2)$*, or a matrix (5.12) with* $v=0$ *and* $k\in[0,1)$*.*

Proof. By multiplying Q by a suitable unit complex number, we may suppose that the real part Q_1 of Q in (3.8) also has rank 4, and apply Corollary 5.6.

First suppose that the $GL(2,\mathbb{H})$ orbit of Q contains a matrix (5.11). Not only does the matrix F defined by (4.11) transform Q_0 into $\mathbb{I}$, but it transforms (5.11) into the diagonal matrix $\mathbb{I}+i\big\{A\,|\,0\big\}$, where

$$A=\begin{pmatrix} y-v+i(-x+u) & 0\\ 0 & -y-v-i(x+u)\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\tan\alpha & 0\\ 0 & \tan\beta\end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.16}$$

The second equality can be used here to define $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{C}$, given that $\det Q\neq 0$ and the meromorphic function tan avoids only the values $\pm i$. Choose $c,d\in\mathbb{C}$ such that $c^2=\cos\alpha$, $d^2=\cos\beta$. Acting by the matrix

$$\operatorname{diag}(c,\ d,\ \overline{c},\ \overline{d})\in GL(2,\mathbb{H}), \tag{5.17}$$

we see that Q is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{diag}\big(e^{i\alpha},\ e^{i\beta},\ e^{i\overline{\alpha}},\ e^{i\overline{\beta}}\big).$$

This form makes the action by $e^{i\theta}\in U(1)$ in (4.1) transparent, and we may use it to choose

$$i\alpha=\lambda+i\nu,\qquad i\beta=\mu-i\nu, \tag{5.18}$$

so that $\det Q=1$.

The statement that further restrictions can be imposed on λ,μ,ν follows from Lemma 5.8 below.

To handle the non-diagonalizable case, denote (5.12) by $Q=Q_0+iR_{v,k}$. A calculation reveals that $\det Q$ equals

$$1-2k^2+k^4-6v^2+2k^2v^2+v^4+4iv(k^2+v^2-1)=(k^2+(v+i)^2)^2, \tag{5.19}$$

and we are assuming that this is non-zero. The only points (k,v) that are excluded are $(\pm1,0)$, for which $\operatorname{rank}Q=3$. The real part of the matrix $e^{i\theta}Q$ equals

$$Q_0\cos\theta-R_{v,k}\sin\theta,$$

and the determinant of this real part is δ^2 where

$$\delta=(\cos\theta+v\sin\theta)^2+(k\sin\theta)^2. \tag{5.20}$$

Since $e^{i\theta}Q$ is again non-diagonalizable, Corollary 5.6 implies that

$$H^\top(e^{i\theta}Q)H=Q_0+iR_{\tilde{k},\tilde{v}}, \tag{5.21}$$

for some $H\in GL(2,\mathbb{H})$. Examining the real part of (5.21) shows that $\det H=1/\delta$, whence

$$e^{4i\theta}\det Q=\delta^2(\tilde{k}^2+(\tilde{v}+i)^2)^2. \tag{5.22}$$

Now choose θ so that the left-hand side of (5.22) is real and positive, and (more specifically) take $v = \tan\theta$ if $k = 0$. Then $\delta \neq 0$, and (5.19) tells us that $\tilde{v} = 0$.

Imposing the condition $\det Q = 1$ almost fixes a representative of the $U(1)$ orbit; the only ambiguity that remains is to multiply Q by a power of i. Setting $v = 0 = \tilde{v}$ and $\theta = \pi/2$ in (5.22) yields $\tilde{k} = 1/k$, so it suffices to restrict k to the interval $[0, 1)$. □

Combining the final sentences of the two preceding proofs, we conclude that the equivalence classes of non-diagonalizable matrices are faithfully parametrized by $k \in [0, 1)$. The situation is more complicated in the diagonalizable case:

Lemma 5.8. *$Q_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}$, $Q_{\lambda',\mu',\nu'}$ are equivalent in the sense of Definition 4.1 if and only if (λ, μ, ν), (λ', μ', ν') belong to the same orbit under the group Γ of transformations of $\mathbb{R}^3$ generated by the four maps*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}(\lambda,\, \mu,\, \nu) \mapsto (\lambda,\, \mu,\, \nu + \frac{\pi}{2})\\ (\lambda,\, \mu,\, \nu) \mapsto (-\lambda,\, \mu,\, \nu)\\ (\lambda,\, \mu,\, \nu) \mapsto (\lambda, -\mu,\, \nu)\\ (\lambda,\, \mu,\, \nu) \mapsto (\mu,\, \lambda, -\nu).\end{array}\right. \tag{5.23}$$

Proof. Suppose that

$$e^{i\theta} H^\top Q_{\lambda,\mu,\nu} H = Q_{\lambda',\mu',\nu'}, \tag{5.24}$$

with $H \in GL(2, \mathbb{H})$. Taking determinants of both sides shows that $e^{4i\theta} = 1$, so we only need consider the action by the subgroup of $U(1)$ generated by i. To obtain the first map in (5.23), observe that

$$i\, H_1^\top Q_{\lambda,\mu,\nu} H_1 = Q_{\lambda,\mu,\nu+\frac{\pi}{2}}, \tag{5.25}$$

where $H_1 = \mathrm{diag}(1, i, 1, -i) \in SL(2, \mathbb{H})$.

The second map corresponds to $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\overline{\alpha}, \beta)$ and arises from the equation

$$H_2^\top (Q_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}) H_2 = Q_{-\lambda,\mu,\nu}, \tag{5.26}$$

where

$$H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{H}).$$

The simultaneous conjugation $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta})$ is realized by

$$H_3^\top (Q_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}) H_3 = Q_{-\lambda,-\mu,\,\nu} \tag{5.27}$$

with $H_3 = \big\{0 \,|\, I\big\}$. Thus the third map in (5.23) is generated by $H_2 H_3$. The final map arises by swapping α and β, and the equation

$$H_4^\top (Q_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}) H_4 = Q_{\mu,\lambda,-\nu}, \tag{5.28}$$

with $H_4 = \big\{K \,|\, 0\big\}$.

For the 'only if' statement, we may suppose that $\nu \in [0, \pi/2)$ and use (5.18) to write

$$Q_{\lambda,\mu,\nu} = \operatorname{diag}\big(e^{i\alpha},\, e^{i\beta},\, e^{i\overline{\alpha}},\, e^{i\overline{\beta}}\big). \tag{5.29}$$

Since $\cos\alpha$ and $\cos\beta$ are both non-zero, we can reverse the procedure (5.17) to obtain a matrix (5.11) in the same $GL(2,\mathbb{H})$ orbit. We now appeal to the last part of Corollary 5.6 to conclude that y, x, u are uniquely specified up to changing signs of any two of them or swapping x, u. But these operations exactly correspond to the maps above, by means of (5.16). Indeed, $x \leftrightarrow u$ translates into (5.26), $(y, x, u) \mapsto (y, -x, -u)$ into (5.27), and $(y, x, u) \mapsto (-y, x, -u)$ into (5.28). The description of Γ is completed by the discussion leading to (5.25). □

In view of the lemma, we may now represent each orbit of Γ by a unique point of the domain

$$\{(\lambda, \mu) : 0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \mu\} \times S^1,$$

where S^1 parametrizes $e^{4i\nu}$, except for the identification of (μ, μ, ν) with $(\mu, \mu, \frac{\pi}{2} - \nu)$. Examples of diagonal matrices $Q_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}$ for which the stabilizer of (λ, μ, ν) in Γ is not the identity are

(a) the real quadratic form $Q_{0,0,0} = \mathbb{I}$;
(b) $Q_{0,0,\nu}$ with $\nu \not\equiv 0$ modulo $\pi/2$;
(c) $Q_{0,\mu,\nu}$ with $\mu \neq 0$, which is equivalent to $\mathbb{I} + iQ_2$ where $\operatorname{rank} Q_2 = 2$.
(d) $Q_{\lambda,\lambda,0}$ and $Q_{\lambda,\lambda,\frac{\pi}{4}}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$.

Most of these distinguished quadrics will play a role in the sequel.

Remark 5.9. The half line of forms $Q_{\lambda,\lambda,0}$ with $\lambda \geqslant 0$ is realized by taking $y = u = v = 0$ and $x = \tanh\lambda \in [0, 1)$; it follows that $q = d = 0$ and $p = -x^2$ in (5.14). The non-diagonalizable solutions also have $q = d = 0$ and $p = -k^2 \leqslant 0$. It follows that the invariants p, q, d do not separate the $U(1) \times GL(2,\mathbb{H})$ orbits, and the associated quotient space is not Hausdorff.

5.1. **Quadrics and twistor lines.** As a first application of the classification theorem, we identify exactly how many twistor lines a quadric may contain. We use the notation from Section 3.4.

Theorem 5.10. *For any non-degenerate quadric $\mathscr{Q}$, there are three possibilities:*
(0) $D = D_0 = S^1$, which is the case when $\mathscr{Q}$ is real.
(1) $\mathscr{Q}$ contains no twistor lines, that is, $D = D_1$.
(2) $\mathscr{Q}$ contains exactly one or exactly two twistor lines.

Since the statement is conformally invariant, we may assume that the quadratic form q is in the canonical form of Theorem 5.7, with the coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^4$ taken in the order $\xi_0, W_1, \xi_{12}, W_2$. Theorem 5.10 therefore follows from

Proposition 5.11. *Let Q be in canonical form (5.15), with $0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \mu$, and $0 \leqslant \nu < \pi/2$. The corresponding quadric $\mathscr{Q}$ contains exactly two twistor lines if and only if $\lambda = \mu \neq 0$, and $\nu = 0$. The quadric $\mathscr{Q}$ contains a family of twistor lines over a circle if and only if $\lambda = \mu = \nu = 0$. Otherwise, $\mathscr{Q}$ contains no twistor lines.*

In the case $Q = Q_0 + iR_{k,0}$ of (5.12) with $k \in [0,1)$, the corresponding quadric $\mathscr{Q}$ contains exactly one twistor line.

Proof. Using our identification (2.8) of $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ with $\mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus \mathbb{CP}^1$, $\mathscr{Q}$ is defined by

$$e^{\lambda+i\nu}(\xi_0)^2 + e^{-\lambda+i\nu}(\xi_{12})^2 + e^{\mu-i\nu}(\xi_0 z_1 - \xi_{12}\overline{z}_2)^2 + e^{-\mu-i\nu}(\xi_0 z_2 + \xi_{12}\overline{z}_1)^2 = 0.$$

Write this as

$$A(\xi_0)^2 + 2B\xi_0\xi_{12} + C(\xi_{12})^2 = 0, \tag{5.30}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} A &= c_1 + c_3(z_1)^2 + c_4(z_2)^2, \\ B &= -c_3 z_1\overline{z}_2 + c_4\overline{z}_1 z_2, \\ C &= c_2 + c_3(\overline{z}_2)^2 + c_4(\overline{z}_1)^2, \end{cases} \tag{5.31}$$

where to simplify notation we have set

$$c_1 = e^{\lambda+i\nu},\ c_2 = e^{-\lambda+i\nu},\ c_3 = e^{\mu-i\nu},\ c_4 = e^{-\mu-i\nu}. \tag{5.32}$$

The subset of the discriminant locus in $\mathbb{R}^4$ containing entire twistor fibers is given by

$$D_0 = \{(z_1, z_2)\ :\ A = 0,\ B = 0,\ C = 0\}.$$

If $(z_1, z_2) \in D_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\lambda+i\nu} + e^{\mu-i\nu}(z_1)^2 + e^{-\mu-i\nu}(z_2)^2 &= 0 \\ e^{-\lambda+i\nu} + e^{\mu-i\nu}(\overline{z}_2)^2 + e^{-\mu-i\nu}(\overline{z}_1)^2 &= 0 \\ e^{\mu-i\nu}z_1\overline{z}_2 - e^{-\mu-i\nu}\overline{z}_1 z_2 &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

Clearly $(z_1, z_2) \neq (0,0)$, since the coefficients are non-zero. For the same reason, these quadrics do not contain the twistor line over infinity, as easily follows from applying the inversion (2.14).

Let us examine first the case that $z_1 \neq 0$ and $z_2 = 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\lambda+i\nu} + e^{\mu-i\nu}(z_1)^2 &= 0 \\ e^{-\lambda+i\nu} + e^{-\mu-i\nu}(\overline{z}_1)^2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$(z_1)^2 = -e^{\lambda-\mu+2i\nu} = -e^{\mu-\lambda-2i\nu},$$

which implies that $\lambda = \mu$ and $\nu = 0$, in which case there are exactly two solutions $(\pm i, 0)$.

Similarly, if $z_1 = 0$ and $z_2 \neq 0$, then

$$(z_2)^2 = -e^{\lambda+\mu+2i\nu} = -e^{-\lambda-\mu-2i\nu},$$

which implies that $(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = (0,0,0)$ since by assumption $0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \mu$.

We next consider the case that we have a solution with both z_1 and z_2 non-zero. From (5.33) we obtain

$$e^{\mu}z_1\overline{z}_2 = e^{-\mu}\overline{z}_1 z_2. \tag{5.34}$$

Taking norms, it follows that $\mu = 0$, and therefore also $\lambda = 0$. The equations then simplify to

$$e^{2i\nu} + (z_1)^2 + (z_2)^2 = 0 \tag{5.35}$$

$$e^{2i\nu} + (\overline{z}_2)^2 + (\overline{z}_1)^2 = 0 \tag{5.36}$$

$$z_1\overline{z}_2 - \overline{z}_1 z_2 = 0.$$

Equations (5.35) and (5.36) imply that $\nu = 0$. Consequently, $\mathscr{Q}$ is a real quadric, and this case was proved in Theorem 3.10.

In the non-diagonalizable case, the quadratic form is twice

$$i(\xi_0^2 + \xi_{12}^2) - k\xi_0 W_1 + k\xi_{12}W_2 - \xi_0 W_2 + \xi_{12}W_1.$$

Using (2.8), the quadric is then written in the form (5.30), with

$$A = i + k\overline{z}_1 - \overline{z}_2, \quad B = \mathfrak{Re}(kz_2) + i\,\mathfrak{Im}(z_1), \quad C = i - kz_1 - z_2. \tag{5.37}$$

To find twistor fibers, we set $A = B = C = 0$. From $A + \overline{C} = -2\overline{z}_2$, we get $z_2 = 0$. From $B = 0$, we get $z_1 = x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. From $C = 0$, we obtain $i - kx_1 = 0$, which clearly has no solution. Thus there are no fibers in $\mathcal{Q}$ over $\mathbb{R}^4$.

We next look at the point at infinity by performing the inversion (2.14). The expression for the inverted Q is twice

$$i(W_1^2 + W_2^2) - kW_1\xi_0 + kW_2\xi_{12} - W_1\xi_{12} + W_2\xi_0. \tag{5.38}$$

The new A, B, C have no constant term, so the corresponding inverted quadric contains the fiber over the origin. □

6. Smooth points

Next we examine the smooth points on the discriminant locus. In this section, we will consider the diagonalizable case (5.15), and assume throughout that $0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \mu$, and $\nu \in [0, \pi/2)$. The non-diagonalizable case will be considered seperately in Proposition 7.6 below. Writing the quadric in the form (5.30), the discriminant is defined by $\Delta = B^2 - AC$, which is

$$\Delta = (-c_3 z_1\overline{z}_2 + c_4\overline{z}_1 z_2)^2 - (c_1 + c_3(z_1)^2 + c_4(z_2)^2)(c_2 + c_3(\overline{z}_2)^2 + c_4(\overline{z}_1)^2), \tag{6.1}$$

and the discriminant locus is given by

$$D = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \ : \ \Delta(z_1, z_2) = 0\}. \tag{6.2}$$

We also adopt the following notation: if $F : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C}$ with

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = f + ig,$$

set

$$d_{\mathbb{R}}F = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_1} f & \partial_{y_1} f & \partial_{x_2} f & \partial_{y_2} f \\ \partial_{x_1} g & \partial_{y_1} g & \partial_{x_2} g & \partial_{y_2} g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_1} F & \partial_{y_1} F & \partial_{x_2} F & \partial_{y_2} F \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 6.1. *The matrix of* $d_{\mathbb{R}}F \otimes \mathbb{C} : \mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ *in the bases*

$$\{\partial/\partial z_1,\, \partial/\partial z_2,\, \partial/\partial \overline{z}_1,\, \partial/\partial \overline{z}_2\}\,, \quad \{\partial/\partial w,\, \partial/\partial \overline{w}\}$$

is given by

$$d_{\mathbb{R}}F \otimes \mathbb{C} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{z_1}F & \partial_{z_2}F & \partial_{\overline{z}_1}F & \partial_{\overline{z}_2}F \\ \partial_{z_1}\overline{F} & \partial_{z_2}\overline{F} & \partial_{\overline{z}_1}\overline{F} & \partial_{\overline{z}_2}\overline{F} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. This is an elementary computation. □

It follows from the implicit function theorem that the set $F^{-1}(\{0\})$ is a submanifold provided that $d_{\mathbb{R}}F(p) : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ has rank 2 for each $p \in F^{-1}(\{0\})$.

Proposition 6.2. *The rank of* $d_{\mathbb{R}}F(p)$ *is strictly less than* 2 *if and only if*

$$(\partial_{\overline{z}_1}F,\, \partial_{\overline{z}_2}F) = e^{i\theta}\,(\overline{\partial_{z_1}F},\, \overline{\partial_{z_2}F})$$

for some $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

Proof. If this condition is satisfied, then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbb{R}}F \otimes \mathbb{C} &= \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{z_1}F & \partial_{z_2}F & e^{i\theta}\overline{\partial_{z_1}F} & e^{i\theta}\overline{\partial_{z_2}F} \\ e^{-i\theta}\partial_{z_1}F & e^{-i\theta}\partial_{z_2}F & \partial_{\overline{z}_1}\overline{F} & \partial_{\overline{z}_2}\overline{F} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{z_1}F & \partial_{z_2}F & e^{i\theta}\partial_{\overline{z}_1}\overline{F} & e^{i\theta}\partial_{\overline{z}_2}\overline{F} \\ e^{-i\theta}\partial_{z_1}F & e^{-i\theta}\partial_{z_2}F & \partial_{\overline{z}_1}\overline{F} & \partial_{\overline{z}_2}\overline{F} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The second row is $e^{-i\theta}$ times the first, so the rank is not maximal.

For the converse, without loss of generality assume that the first row is non-zero. The rank is strictly less than 2 if and only if there exists a constant c so that

$$d_{\mathbb{R}}F = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_1}f & \partial_{y_1}f & \partial_{x_2}f & \partial_{y_2}f \\ c\partial_{x_1}f & c\partial_{y_1}f & c\partial_{x_2}f & c\partial_{y_2}f \end{pmatrix}.$$

A computation shows that this corresponds to

$$e^{i\theta} = \frac{(1-c^2) + 2ci}{1+c^2},$$

so that c equals $\tan(\theta/2)$. □

We let $\nabla_{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the complex gradient of a function, so that

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{C}}F = (\partial_{z_1}F,\, \partial_{z_2}F,\, \partial_{\overline{z}_1}F,\, \partial_{\overline{z}_2}F).$$

We now apply this to the discriminant defining (6.2).

Proposition 6.3. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta) = \Big(&-2c_3c_4\overline{z}_1|\mathbf{z}|^2 - 2c_2c_3z_1,\ -2c_3c_4\overline{z}_2|\mathbf{z}|^2 - 2c_2c_4z_2, \\ &-2c_3c_4z_1|\mathbf{z}|^2 - 2c_1c_4\overline{z}_1,\ -2c_3c_4z_2|\mathbf{z}|^2 - 2c_1c_3\overline{z}_2\Big), \end{aligned}$$

where $|\mathbf{z}|^2 = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2$.

Proof. Referring to (5.31), we have the easy formulae

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\mathbb{C}}A &= (2c_3z_1, 2c_4z_2, 0, 0)\\ \nabla_{\mathbb{C}}B &= (-c_3\overline{z}_2, c_4\overline{z}_1, c_4z_2, -c_3z_1)\\ \nabla_{\mathbb{C}}C &= (0, 0, 2c_4\overline{z}_1, 2c_3\overline{z}_2).\end{aligned}$$

The claim follows applying these to $\Delta = B^2 - AC$. □

We examine the bad points, i.e., points at which there exists $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}-2c_3c_4z_1|\mathbf{z}|^2 - 2c_1c_4\overline{z}_1 &= e^{i\theta}\big(-2\overline{c}_3\overline{c}_4z_1|\mathbf{z}|^2 - 2\overline{c}_2\overline{c}_3\overline{z}_1\big)\\ -2c_3c_4z_2|\mathbf{z}|^2 - 2c_1c_3\overline{z}_2 &= e^{i\theta}\big(-2\overline{c}_3\overline{c}_4z_2|\mathbf{z}|^2 - 2\overline{c}_2\overline{c}_4\overline{z}_2\big).\end{aligned}\tag{6.3}$$

Note that $(z_1, z_2) = (0, 0)$ is trivially a solution, but this point is clearly not on the discriminant locus.

It is convenient to divide the following analysis of potential singular points into three cases:

(i) $z_2 = 0$ and $z_1 \neq 0$; (ii) $z_1 = 0$, and $z_2 \neq 0$; (iii) $z_1 \neq 0$ and $z_2 \neq 0$.

We shall now consider in turn each of these three cases and related examples.

6.1. **Case (i) and twistor fibers.** Assume that $z_2 = 0$ but $z_1 \neq 0$. We now have only the one equation

$$\Big|c_3c_4z_1|z_1|^2 + c_1c_4\overline{z}_1\Big| = \Big|\overline{c}_3\overline{c}_4z_1|z_1|^2 + \overline{c}_2\overline{c}_3\overline{z}_1\Big|.\tag{6.4}$$

We must also have the discriminant vanish:

$$0 = \Delta = -(c_1 + c_3z_1^2)(c_2 + c_4\overline{z}_1^2) = -c_1c_2 - c_2c_3z_1^2 - c_1c_4\overline{z}_1^2 - c_3c_4|z_1|^4.\tag{6.5}$$

Recalling (5.32), the equations (6.4) and (6.5) may be rewritten as

$$(e^{-2i\nu+\lambda-\mu} - e^{2i\nu-\lambda+\mu})z_1^2 + (e^{+2i\nu+\lambda-\mu} - e^{-2i\nu-\lambda+\mu})\overline{z}_1^2 = e^{2(\mu-\lambda)} - e^{2(\lambda-\mu)}\tag{6.6}$$

$$e^{2i\nu} + e^{\mu-\lambda}z_1^2 + e^{\lambda-\mu}\overline{z}_1^2 + e^{-2i\nu}|z_1|^4 = 0.\tag{6.7}$$

Writing out the real and imaginary parts, we obtain three real equations

$$\sinh(\lambda-\mu)\cos(2\nu)(x^2-y^2) + 2\cosh(\lambda-\mu)\sin(2\nu)xy = -\sinh(\lambda-\mu)\cosh(\lambda-\mu)\tag{6.8}$$

$$2\cosh(\lambda-\mu)(x^2-y^2) + \cos(2\nu)(1 + (x^2+y^2)^2) = 0\tag{6.9}$$

$$-4\sinh(\lambda-\mu)xy + \sin(2\nu)(1 - (x^2+y^2)^2) = 0.\tag{6.10}$$

Proposition 6.4. *If Case (i) happens, then $\lambda = \mu$ and $\nu = 0$.*

Proof. First, let us assume that $\lambda \neq \mu$. Equations (6.9) and (6.10) give

$$x^2 - y^2 = -\frac{\cos(2\nu)}{2\cosh(\lambda-\mu)}(1 + |z_1|^4), \qquad xy = \frac{\sin(2\nu)}{4\sinh(\lambda-\mu)}(1 - |z_1|^4).$$

Substituting these into (6.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sinh(\lambda-\mu)\cos(2\nu)\Big(-\frac{\cos(2\nu)}{2\cosh(\lambda-\mu)}(1+|z_1|^4)\Big)\\&+2\cosh(\lambda-\mu)\sin(2\nu)\frac{\sin(2\nu)}{4\sinh(\lambda-\mu)}(1-|z_1|^4)=-\sinh(\lambda-\mu)\cosh(\lambda-\mu)\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by $2\sinh\cosh$,

$$\begin{aligned}-\sinh^2(\lambda-\mu)\cos^2(2\nu)(1+|z_1|^4)+\cosh^2(\lambda-\mu)\sin^2(2\nu)(1-|z_1|^4)&\\=-2\sinh^2(\lambda-\mu)\cosh^2(\lambda-\mu).&\end{aligned}$$

This is

$$\begin{aligned}&|z_1|^4\Big(-\sinh^2(\lambda-\mu)\cos^2(2\nu)-\cosh^2(\lambda-\mu)\sin^2(2\nu)\Big)\\&=+\sinh^2(\lambda-\mu)\cos^2(2\nu)-\cosh^2(\lambda-\mu)\sin^2(2\nu)-2\sinh^2(\lambda-\mu)\cosh^2(\lambda-\mu),\end{aligned}$$

which simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}|z_1|^4\Big(-\sinh^2(\lambda-\mu)\cos^2(2\nu)-\cosh^2(\lambda-\mu)\sin^2(2\nu)\Big)&\\=\tfrac{1}{2}\Big(\cos(4\nu)-\cosh\big(2(\lambda-\mu)\big)\Big)\cosh\big(2(\lambda-\mu)\big).&\end{aligned}$$

A computation shows that then

$$1+|z_1|^4=2\cosh^2(\lambda-\mu),\qquad 1-|z_1|^4=-2\sinh^2(\lambda-\mu).\tag{6.11}$$

Substituting these into (6.9) and (6.10), and squaring the resulting equations, we obtain

$$x^4-2x^2y^2+y^4=\cos^2(2\nu)\cosh^2(\lambda-\mu),\qquad x^2y^2=\tfrac{1}{4}\sin^2(2\nu)\sinh^2(\lambda-\mu).$$

From these we compute

$$\begin{aligned}1+|z_1|^4&=1+x^4+2x^2y^2+y^4=1+x^4-2x^2y^2+y^4+4x^2y^2\\&=1+\cos^2(2\nu)\cosh^2(\lambda-\mu)+\sin^2(2\nu)\sinh^2(\lambda-\mu).\end{aligned}$$

This simplifies to

$$1+|z_1|^4=\tfrac{1}{2}\Big(2+\cos(4\nu)+\cosh\big(2(\lambda-\mu)\big)\Big).$$

From the above equation (6.11), the coefficients must therefore satisfy

$$2\cosh^2(\lambda-\mu)-\tfrac{1}{2}\cosh\big(2(\lambda-\mu)\big)=1+\tfrac{1}{2}\cos(2\nu).$$

This simplifies to

$$1+\tfrac{1}{2}\cosh\big(2(\lambda-\mu)\big)=1+\tfrac{1}{2}\cos(2\nu).$$

We conclude that $\cosh\big(2(\lambda-\mu)\big)=\cos(2\nu)$, which is contrary to $\lambda\neq\mu$.

Finally, we consider the case $\lambda = \mu$. In this case, the above system is

$$(e^{-2i\nu} - e^{2i\nu})z_1^2 + (e^{+2i\nu} - e^{-2i\nu})\overline{z}_1^2 = 0$$
$$e^{2i\nu} + z_1^2 + \overline{z}_1^2 + e^{-2i\nu}|z_1|^4 = 0.$$

If $\nu \neq 0$, the first equation yields $z_1^2 = \overline{z}_1^2$, which says that z_1^2 is real, or equivalently, z_1 is either purely real or purely imaginary. The second equation is then

$$\begin{aligned} 2z_1^2 &= -e^{2i\nu} - e^{-2i\nu}|z_1|^4 \\ &= -\cos(2\nu) - |z_1|^4\cos(2\nu) + i\big(-\sin(2\nu) + |z_1|^4\sin(2\nu)\big). \end{aligned}$$

Since the left hand side is real, and $\nu \neq 0$, we conclude that that $|z_1|^4 = 1$. But then the equation reads $2z_1^2 = -2\cos(2\nu)$, so taking norms yields $1 = |z_1|^2 = \cos(2\nu)$; since $0 < \nu < \pi/2$, this is a contradiction. We conclude that $(\lambda, \lambda, 0)$ is the only possibility. ☐

Proposition 6.5. *If $\lambda = \mu \neq 0$ and $\nu = 0$, then Case (i) happens over exactly two points, which are those over which the quadric contains the entire fiber.*

Proof. The first equation (6.6) is trivially satisfied, so we are just looking at points in the discriminant locus of the form $(z_1, 0)$. The second equation (6.7) is

$$1 + |z_1|^4 + z_1^2 + \overline{z}_1^2 = 0.$$

Expanding, this becomes

$$1 + 2x_1^2 + x_1^4 - 2y_1^2 + 2x_1^2y_1^2 + y_1^4 = (1 + x_1^2 - 2y_1 + y_1^2)(1 + x_1^2 + 2y_1 + y_1^2).$$

It is easy to check this has two solutions $(x, y) = (0, \pm 1)$, which are exactly the points over which the quadric contains the entire fiber. ☐

Remark 6.6. Below we shall see a direct description of the situation described in Proposition 6.5. After a conformal transformation, the discriminant locus will be a cone in $\mathbb{R}^4$, with these singular points corresponding to the cone points at the origin and at infinity.

6.2. **Case (ii) and a limit of Clifford tori.**

Now assume that $z_1 = 0$ and $z_2 \neq 0$. In this case we again have just one equation

$$\Big|c_3c_4z_2|z_2|^2 + c_1c_3\overline{z}_2\Big| = \Big|\overline{c}_3\overline{c}_4z_2|z_2|^2 + \overline{c}_2\overline{c}_4\overline{z}_2\Big|. \tag{6.12}$$

Again, we must also have the discriminant vanish

$$0 = \Delta = -(c_1 + c_4z_2^2)(c_2 + c_3\overline{z}_2^2) = -c_1c_2 - c_2c_4z_2^2 - c_1c_3\overline{z}_2^2 - c_3c_4|z_2|^4. \tag{6.13}$$

Recalling (5.32), the equations (6.12) and (6.13) may be rewritten as

$$(e^{-2i\nu+\lambda+\mu} - e^{2i\nu-\lambda-\mu})z_2^2 + (e^{+2i\nu+\lambda+\mu} - e^{-2i\nu-\lambda-\mu})\overline{z}_2^2 = e^{-2(\lambda+\mu)} - e^{2(\lambda+\mu)}$$
$$e^{2i\nu} + e^{-\lambda-\mu}z_2^2 + e^{\lambda+\mu}\overline{z}_2^2 + e^{-2i\nu}|z_2|^4 = 0.$$

Proposition 6.7. *If Case (ii) occurs then $(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = (0, 0, 0)$.*

Proof. The proof is more or less the same as in Proposition 6.4, with $\lambda - \mu$ replaced with $\lambda + \mu$. We conclude that $\lambda = -\mu$ and $\nu = 0$. This implies the statement since $0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \mu$. ☐

We already know that if λ, μ, ν all vanish then $\mathscr{Q}$ is equivalent to a real quadric, so that D is a circle and coincides with D_0. We next consider another important case in which we can describe D explicitly.

Proposition 6.8. *If $\lambda = \mu = 0$ and $\nu \neq 0$, then the discriminant locus is a smooth Clifford torus.*

Proof. The discriminant equation in this case is

$$0 = e^{2i\nu} + e^{-2i\nu}|\mathbf{z}|^4 + z_1^2 + \overline{z}_1^2 + z_2^2 + \overline{z}_2^2. \tag{6.14}$$

The imaginary part of this equation is

$$\sin(2\nu)(1 - |\mathbf{z}|^4).$$

Since $\nu \neq 0$, we must have $|\mathbf{z}|^4 = 1$. That is, the discriminant locus D is a subset of the unit sphere. The real part of (6.14) is

$$(1 + |\mathbf{z}|^4)\cos(2\nu) + 2(x_1^2 - y_1^2) + 2(x_2^2 - y_2^2).$$

So D is the subset of the unit sphere defined by

$$y_1^2 + y_2^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cos(2\nu).$$

If we let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, -y_2)$ (the minus sign will be convenient in the next subsection), then the equations are

$$|\mathbf{y}|^2 + |\mathbf{x}|^2 = 1, \qquad |\mathbf{y}|^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2 = \cos(2\nu), \tag{6.15}$$

from which it follows that

$$|\mathbf{y}|^2 = \frac{1 + \cos(2\nu)}{2}, \qquad |\mathbf{x}|^2 = \frac{1 - \cos(2\nu)}{2},$$

which is clearly a smooth Clifford torus. ☐

Remark 6.9. For this family of tori, we see clearly from (6.15) how the torus limits to a circle as $\nu \to 0$.

6.3. **Case (iii) cannot happen.** We turn to the generic situation in which $z_1 \neq 0$ and $z_2 \neq 0$. Recalling (5.32), we rewrite the system as

$$\begin{aligned} z_1|\mathbf{z}|^2(e^{-2i\nu} - e^{i\theta}e^{2i\nu}) &= \overline{z}_1(e^{i\theta}e^{-\lambda+\mu} - e^{\lambda-\mu}) \\ z_2|\mathbf{z}|^2(e^{-2i\nu} - e^{i\theta}e^{2i\nu}) &= \overline{z}_2(e^{i\theta}e^{-\lambda-\mu} - e^{\lambda+\mu}). \end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

Lemma 6.10. *Let $\lambda \neq 0$. A point (z_1, z_2) on the discriminant locus with both z_1 and z_2 non-zero is a smooth point of the discriminant locus.*

Proof. Since z_1 and z_2 are non-zero, taking norms of these equations gives

$$|\mathbf{z}|^2 = \frac{\left|e^{i\theta}e^{-\lambda+\mu} - e^{\lambda-\mu}\right|}{\left|e^{-2i\nu} - e^{i\theta}e^{2i\nu}\right|} = \frac{\left|e^{i\theta}e^{-\lambda-\mu} - e^{\lambda+\mu}\right|}{\left|e^{-2i\nu} - e^{i\theta}e^{2i\nu}\right|}.$$

The denominators are the same, so this can only be possible if

$$e^{2(-\lambda+\mu)} - e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta} + e^{2(\lambda-\mu)} = e^{-2(\lambda+\mu)} - e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta} + e^{2(\lambda+\mu)},$$

which says that $\cosh(\lambda-\mu) = \cosh(\lambda+\mu)$. Since $0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \mu$, we deduce that $\mu - \lambda = \lambda + \mu$, whence $\lambda = 0$. □

Finally, we shall show that the assumption $\lambda \neq 0$ is not needed in the previous lemma.

Proposition 6.11. *If $0 = \lambda < \mu$, then the discriminant locus is necessarily smooth.*

Proof. Fix $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2) = (x_1+iy_1, x_2+iy_2)$, and again set $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, -y_2)$.

The points where the gradient of Δ degenerates are given by (6.16). Rearranging,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2i\nu}z_1|\mathbf{z}|^2 + e^{-\mu}\overline{z}_1 &= e^{i\theta}(e^{2i\nu}z_1|\mathbf{z}|^2 + e^{\mu}\,\overline{z}_1) \\ e^{-2i\nu}z_2|\mathbf{z}|^2 + e^{\mu}\,\overline{z}_2 &= e^{i\theta}(e^{2i\nu}z_2|\mathbf{z}|^2 + e^{-\mu}\overline{z}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Cross multiplying yields

$$2\big(\cos(2\nu)sX + \sin(2\nu)cY\big)|\mathbf{z}|^2 + \sinh(2\mu)\overline{z}_1\overline{z}_2 = 0, \tag{6.17}$$

where $X + iY = \overline{z}_1 z_2$ and $c = \cosh\mu$, $s = \sinh\mu$. Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{Im}(z_1z_2) = \mathbf{x}\cdot(J\mathbf{y}) = 0, \tag{6.18}$$

where $J\mathbf{y} = (y_2, y_1)$. Thus $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ or

$$\mathbf{x} = k\mathbf{y}, \tag{6.19}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

The discriminant locus is given by

$$2c(|\mathbf{x}|^2 - |\mathbf{y}|^2) + (1 + |\mathbf{z}|^4)\cos(2\nu) = 0, \tag{6.20}$$

$$4s\,\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{y} - (|\mathbf{z}|^4 - 1)\sin(2\nu) = 0. \tag{6.21}$$

We know that these equations, with $c > 1$, imply that $|\mathbf{y}| > 0$, so we may asssume (6.19).

We now have $|\mathbf{z}|^2 = (k^2+1)|\mathbf{y}|^2$. Recalling the definition of $\mathbf{y}$, we also have

$$\overline{z}_1 z_2 = -(k-i)^2 y_1y_2, \qquad z_1z_2 = -(k^2+1)y_1y_2.$$

Thus $X = (1-k^2)y_1y_2$ and $Y = 2ky_1y_2$. However, (6.17) implies that

$$\big(\cos(2\nu)sX + \sin(2\nu)cY\big)|\mathbf{z}|^2 = -cs\,\mathfrak{Re}(z_1z_2),$$

so either $y_1y_2 = 0$ or

$$\big((1-k^2)s\cos(2\nu) + 2kc\sin(2\nu)\big)\,|\mathbf{y}|^2 = cs. \tag{6.22}$$

But if $y_1y_2 = 0$ then one of z_1, z_2 vanishes, which is impossible with the current hypothesis $\lambda \neq \mu$. From (6.20) and (6.21), we also have

$$2c(k^2-1)|\mathbf{y}|^2 + \big((k^2+1)^2|\mathbf{y}|^4+1\big)\cos(2\nu) = 0, \tag{6.23}$$

$$4sk|\mathbf{y}|^2 - \big((k^2+1)^2|\mathbf{y}|^4-1\big)\sin(2\nu) = 0. \tag{6.24}$$

Consider first the special case $\nu = 0$. Then (6.24) gives $k = 0$ and thus $\mathbf{x} = 0$. From (6.22), we have $|\mathbf{y}|^2 = c$. But (6.23) then implies that $c = 1$, which is not the case.

Write $C = \cos(2\nu)$ and $S = \sin(2\nu)$. We may now assume that $s > 0$ and $S > 0$. In the new notation, (6.22) is

$$-\,sC\,k^2 + 2cS\,k + sC = cs/|\mathbf{y}|^2. \tag{6.25}$$

We get an analogous expression by multiplying (6.23) by S, (6.24) by C, and adding:

$$cS\,k^2 + 2sC\,k - cS = -CS/|\mathbf{y}|^2. \tag{6.26}$$

This is surprising, since (6.25) arises from the *gradient* of Δ, whereas (6.26) arises from Δ itself.

Now multiply (6.25) by cS and (6.26) by sC. Adding and simplifying, we get

$$2k|\mathbf{y}|^2 = sS. \tag{6.27}$$

A similar operation involving subtraction gives

$$(1-k^2)|\mathbf{y}|^2 = cC. \tag{6.28}$$

We also obtain a solution

$$2|\mathbf{y}|^2 = cC \pm \sqrt{c^2C^2 + s^2S^2} = cC + \sqrt{s^2 + C^2}.$$

Rearranging (6.28) gives

$$(k^2+1)|\mathbf{y}|^2 = 2|\mathbf{y}|^2 - cC = \sqrt{s^2+C^2}.$$

This can now be substituted into (6.24) to give

$$4sk|\mathbf{y}|^2 - (s^2+C^2-1)S = 0.$$

Using also (6.27),

$$2s^2S - (s^2+C^2-1)S = 0,$$

and $c^2 = C^2$, which contradicts $c > 1$. □

We summarize what has been proved so far:

Theorem 6.12. *Assume that $\mathscr{Q}$ is a quadric in the canonical form (λ, μ, ν) with $0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \mu$ and $0 \leqslant \nu < \pi/2$. Then the discriminant locus D is a smooth submanifold of real dimension 2, unless $\lambda = \mu$ and $\nu = 0$ (in which case there are exactly two singular points). If $(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = (0, 0, \nu)$ with $\nu \neq 0$ then D is a smooth Clifford torus. If $(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = (0, 0, 0)$, then D is a circle.*

It will be the purpose of the next section to prove that D is an unknotted torus *whenever* it is smooth and $(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \neq (0, 0, 0)$.

7. Topology of the discriminant locus

We begin with the equation of the discriminant (6.1), which expands to

$$\Delta = -e^{2i\nu} - e^{-2i\nu}|\mathbf{z}|^4 - e^{\lambda+\mu}\bar{z}_2^2 - e^{-\lambda-\mu}z_2^2 - e^{\lambda-\mu}\bar{z}_1^2 - e^{\mu-\lambda}z_1^2,$$

where $|\mathbf{z}|^2 = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2$. We shall consider separately the real and imaginary parts

$$\mathfrak{Re}\,\Delta = 2\cosh(\lambda-\mu)(x_1^2 - y_1^2) + 2\cosh(\lambda+\mu)(x_2^2 - y_2^2) + (1+|\mathbf{z}|^4)\cos(2\nu),$$

$$\mathfrak{Im}\,\Delta = -4\sinh(\lambda-\mu)x_1y_1 - 4\sinh(\lambda+\mu)x_2y_2 - (|\mathbf{z}|^4 - 1)\sin(2\nu), \tag{7.1}$$

concentrating attention on the latter.

Proposition 7.1. *If $\nu \neq 0$, then the zero set $\{\mathfrak{Im}\,\Delta = 0\}$ is a smoothly embedded 3-sphere in $\mathbb{R}^4$.*

Proof. We shall show that (7.1) determines a graph over the unit sphere $S^3(1)$. Take $(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \in S^3(1)$, and consider the ray $r(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)$ for $0 < r < \infty$. Along this ray, we have

$$\sin(2\nu)r^4 + r^2\big(4\sinh(\lambda-\mu)x_1y_1 + 4\sinh(\lambda+\mu)x_2y_2\big) - \sin(2\nu) = 0.$$

The quadratic formula gives the solution

$$r^2 = \frac{-B \pm \sqrt{B^2 + 4\sin^2 2\nu}}{2\sin(2\nu)},$$

where $B \equiv 4\sinh(\lambda-\mu)x_1y_1 + 4\sinh(\lambda+\mu)x_2y_2$. By assumption, the discriminant is always positive. Moreover, this equation always has exactly one positive root and the root can be chosen smoothly, given that $\nu \neq 0$. Therefore $\{\mathfrak{Im}\,\Delta = 0\}$ is a smooth graph over S^3. ☐

Remark 7.2. For $\nu = 0$ then $\{\mathfrak{Im}\,\Delta = 0\}$ is clearly a real cone, which has a singularity at the origin.

Our next result extends Proposition 6.8.

Theorem 7.3. *If $\lambda < \mu$, and $\nu \neq 0$, then D is a smooth unknotted torus in $\mathbb{R}^4$.*

Proof. The assumption $\lambda < \mu$ guarantees, from Theorem 6.12, that D is a submanifold. The Riemann-Hurwitz formula for the branched covering $\mathscr{Q} \to S^4$ is

$$\chi(S^2 \times S^2) = 2\chi(S^4) - \chi(D). \tag{7.2}$$

This yields $\chi(D) = 0$.

We first claim that no component of D can be homeomorphic to S^2. Assume by contradiction that D has a component D_s which is a sphere. By translating D_s in the normal direction to $\{\mathfrak{Im}\,\Delta = 0\}$ (which we know is a smoothly embedded S^3), we may assume that D_s is contained in a parallel S^3. By the Generalized Schoenflies Theorem [Bre93, Theorem IV.19.11], D_s will bound a 3-disc M in the parallel S^3. Since M is clearly disjoint from the other components of D, the lift of $M \cup D_s$ under the branched covering is a hypersurface in $S^2 \times S^2$, which disconnects since $H^1(S^2 \times S^2) = 0$, as follows from the Generalized Jordan Curve Theorem [Bre93, Theorem VI.8.8]. Therefore the branched covering is trivial away from D, so there cannot be any other branching components. This contradicts $\chi(D) = 0$.

Since there are no S^2 components, and $\chi(D) = 0$, all the components must be tori. Finally, we claim that there can only be one component, and it is an unknotted torus. To see this, take any torus component T^2. As above, push this torus off of $\{\mathfrak{Im}\,\Delta = 0\}$ in a normal direction to another parallel S^3. Since $T^2 \subset S^3$, Alexander's Solid Torus Theorem says that T^2 must bound a solid torus $S^1 \times D^2$ in S^3 [Rol90, page 107]. So we have T^2 bounding a solid torus, which is clealy disjoint from the other components of D (the other components lie in the original S^3, while the solid torus lies in a parallel S^3). The covering argument above then shows there cannot be any other components of D. Finally, a torus which bounds a solid torus in $\mathbb{R}^4$ must be unknotted, it is isotopic to a standard torus $T^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \subset S^4$ [HK79]. ☐

7.1. **Special cases revisited.** We are now in a position to refine the descriptions given in the previous section for cases in which one of ν or λ vanishes.

First, we return to Case (i) in which $\lambda = \mu$ and $\nu = 0$.

Proposition 7.4. *Given a quadric $\mathscr{Q}$ defined by the matrix $\mathscr{Q}_{(\lambda,\lambda,0)}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$, the discriminant locus is a torus pinched at two points.*

Proof. According to (5.23), this case equivalent to the case $\lambda = -\mu \neq 0$ and $\nu = 0$. Using (5.16) and (5.18), we see that this case corresponds to $x = y = v = 0$, and $u \in \mathbb{R}$, with $u \neq \pm 1$. This means that $\mathscr{Q}$ is conformally equivalent to the zero set of the quadratic form

$$q = 2(u-1)\xi_0 W_2 + 2(u+1)\xi_{12} W_1.$$

To find its discriminant locus, we look at

$$0 = 2(u-1)\xi_0(\xi_0 z_2 + \xi_{12}\overline{z}_1) + 2(u+1)\xi_{12}(\xi_0 z_1 - \xi_{12}\overline{z}_2).$$

Expanding this, we find $0 = A\xi_0^2 + 2B\xi_0\xi_{12} + C\xi_{12}^2$, where

$$A = 2(u-1)z_2, \quad B = (u-1)\overline{z}_1 + (u+1)z_1, \quad C = -2(u+1)\overline{z}_2.$$

Therefore D is defined by

$$0 = B^2 - AC = (u-1)^2\overline{z}_1^2 + (u+1)^2 z_1^2 + (u^2-1)|z_1|^2 + 4(u^2-1)|z_2|^2,$$

with $u \neq \pm 1$ constant. Expanding into real and imaginary parts,

$$4u^2x_1^2 - 4y_1^2 + 4(u^2-1)(x_2^2 + y_2^2) = 0, \qquad 8ux_1y_1 = 0.$$

If $x_1 = 0$, then $4y_1^2 = 4(u^2-1)(x_2^2+y_2^2)$, which is a cone for $|u| > 1$, and empty for $|u| < 1$. If $y_1 = 0$ then $4u^2x_1^2 = -4(u^2-1)(x_2^2+y_2^2)$, which is a cone for $|u| < 1$, and empty for $|u| > 1$. Performing an inversion as in (2.14), we see a similar cone singularity at infinity. When viewed as a subset of $S^3 \subset S^4$, D is then clearly a torus pinched at two points. ☐

Next, we settle the case $\lambda < \mu$ and $\nu = 0$, in which the discriminant locus D is smooth yet $\{\mathfrak{Im}\,\Delta = 0\}$ is not.

Proposition 7.5. *In the case of a quadric* $\mathscr{Q}$ *defined by* $Q_{(\lambda,\mu,0)}$ *with* $\lambda < \mu$*, the discriminant locus is a smooth unknotted torus.*

Proof. In this case, by Theorem 6.12, we know D is a submanifold. Take a path (λ, μ, ν_t) with $t \in [0,1]$ and $\nu_t \neq 0$ such that $(\lambda,\mu,\nu) \to (\lambda,\mu,0)$ as $t \to 1$. From Theorem 7.3, we know the corresponding D_t are unknotted tori. Also, since this is a smooth path of polynomials equations, their zero sets converge to D in the Hausdorff distance. Since D_t is connected, and D is a submanifold, D must therefore be connected. By the Euler characteristic formula (7.2), D must be a torus. Finally, the unknottedness follows since D is the limit of smooth unknotted tori. ☐

7.2. **The non-diagonalizable case.** Recall from (5.37) above, the quadric is given by $A\xi^2 + 2B\xi + C = 0$, with

$$A = i + k\overline{z}_1 - \overline{z}_2, \quad B = \mathfrak{Re}(kz_2) + i\,\mathfrak{Im}(z_1), \quad C = i - kz_1 - z_2. \tag{7.3}$$

A computation shows that the discriminant is

$$B^2 - AC = (k^2-1)x_2^2 - y_2^2 + k^2x_1^2 + (k^2-1)y_1^2 - 2ky_1 + 1 + 2i(x_2 + kx_1y_2).$$

We let $f = \mathfrak{Re}\,\Delta, g = \mathfrak{Im}\,\Delta$, and consider the matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_1} f & \partial_{y_1} f & \partial_{x_2} f & \partial_{y_2} f \\ \partial_{x_1} g & \partial_{y_1} g & \partial_{x_2} g & \partial_{y_2} g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2k^2x_1 & 2(k^2-1)y_1 - 2k & 2(k^2-1)x_2 & -2y_2 \\ ky_2 & 0 & 1 & kx_1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.4}$$

Proposition 7.6. *If* $k \in [0,1)$*, then the discriminant locus is smooth on* $\mathbb{R}^4$*. As a subset of* S^4*, there is exactly one singular point (the point at infinity), and the discriminant locus is a singular torus pinched at one point.*

Proof. The discriminant locus $D = \{f = 0\} \cap \{g = 0\}$. In the case $k = 0$, the equations for D simplify to

$$x_2^2 + y_2^2 + y_1^2 = 1, \qquad x_2 = 0, \tag{7.5}$$

which is a smooth cylinder. Such a cylinder is exactly an unknotted torus pinched at one point when viewed as a subset of S^4. Next, for $k \in (0,1)$, we show that J has rank 2 at every finite point on D. To see this, take the subdeterminant corresponding to the first and last columns:

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2k^2x_1 & -2y_2 \\ ky_2 & kx_1 \end{vmatrix} = 2k^3x_1^2 + 2ky_2^2 = 0. \tag{7.6}$$

Since $k \in (0,1)$, this implies that $x_1 = 0$ and $y_2 = 0$. The equations for D simplify to

$$0 = f = (k^2-1)x_2^2 + (k^2-1)y_1^2 - 2ky_1 + 1, \qquad 0 = g = x_2,$$

which implies

$$0 = f = (k^2-1)y_1^2 - 2ky_1 + 1. \tag{7.7}$$

Next take the subdeterminant corresponding to the second and third columns to get

$$y_1 = \frac{k}{k^2-1}. \tag{7.8}$$

Substituting this into (7.7), we obtain

$$0 = \frac{k^2}{k^2-1} - 2\frac{k^2}{k^2-1} + 1 = -\frac{k^2}{k^2-1} + 1, \tag{7.9}$$

which has no solution. Therefore D is always smooth in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

To identify the global topology of D, we argue as follows. We can identify our non-singular 2-quadric with $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ such that the fiber over infinity corresponds to a $\mathbb{CP}^1$ in one of the factors. Thus,

$$Q_2 \setminus \mathbb{CP}^1 = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{7.10}$$

The Riemann-Hurwitz formula for a branched covering is

$$2 = \chi(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2) = 2\chi(\mathbb{R}^4) - \chi(D \setminus \{p_\infty\}) = 2 - \chi(D \setminus \{p_\infty\}), \tag{7.11}$$

which implies that $\chi(D \setminus \{p_\infty\}) = 0$. We claim that $D \setminus \{p_\infty\}$ is connected. This follows from our work in the diagonalizable case: we can approximate our quadric Q by a sequence of generic diagonalizable quadrics Q_i, with the discriminant loci D_i converging to D in the Hausdorff sense, with smooth convergence away from the singular point. We have proved above that D_i are smooth unknotted tori, which in particular are connected. Thus, we may connect any 2 points in $D \setminus \{p_\infty\}$ by a path which is a limit of paths in the tori D_i each of which avoids the singular point of convergence. Connectedness, together with $\chi = 0$, imply that $D \setminus \{p_\infty\} = S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$.

To finish the argument, an analysis of the singularity is needed; we just briefly outline the details here. Consider the inverted quadric (5.38), so that the singular point is at the origin. One then examines the intersection $D \cap S(r)$, where $S(r)$ is a small sphere of radius r centered at the origin. An elementary computation shows that this limits to two disjoint S^1s in S^3 as $r \to 0$. This implies that the singularity is a double cone point, thus D globally has the topology of a torus pinched at one point. □

Combining Theorems 5.10, 6.12, and 7.3, and Propositions 7.4, 7.5, and 7.6, we obtain Theorem 1.11.

7.3. **Lifting the discriminant locus.** In this subsection, we give a topological "explanation" of Cases (0) and (1) of Theorem 1.11, and prove Theorem 1.13.

In Case (0), the discriminant locus lifts to

$$\pi^{-1}(S^1) = S^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1 = S^1 \times S^2,$$

since an oriented $\mathbb{CP}^1$-bundle over S^1 is trivial. This is a 3-real-dimensional submanifold of $\mathscr{Q}$, which must disconnect into two components [Bre93, Theorem VI.8.8]. Any non-degenerate quadric is diffeomorphic to $S^2 \times S^2$. So we see that $S^2 \times S^2 \setminus S^1 \times S^2$ is equal to two copies of $S^4 \setminus S^1$. We have the well-known isomorphism

$$S^4 \setminus S^1 = \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \mathbb{R} = D^2 \times S^2.$$

So we have the identification $S^2 \times S^2 \setminus S^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ with two copies of $D^2 \times S^2$.

In Case (1), the discriminant locus is a torus, $D = S^1 \times S^1$. Since $D = D_1$, the lift of D is $\pi^{-1}(D) = S^1 \times S^1$. So we see that $S^2 \times S^2 \setminus S^1 \times S^1$ is a double cover of $S^4 \setminus S^1 \times S^1$. Now D is unknotted, which means that D bounds a solid torus $S^1 \times D^2$. The lift $\pi^{-1}(S^1 \times D^2)$ is a solid torus glued to itself along the boundary torus, which is easily seen to be

$$\pi^{-1}(S^1 \times D^2 \cup S^1 \times S^1) = S^1 \times S^2.$$

So, by analogy with (i), we have the identification $S^2 \times S^2 \setminus S^1 \times S^2$ is two copies of $S^4 \setminus \overline{S^1 \times D^2}$. This also shows that the diagonalizable quadrics induce two OCSes on S^4 minus a solid torus. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.13.

REFERENCES

[Ada69] J. Frank Adams, *Lectures on Lie groups*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1969.

[AGG99] V. Apostolov, P. Gauduchon, and G. Grantcharov, *Bi-Hermitian structures on complex surfaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **79** (1999), no. 2, 414–428.

[AHS78] M. F. Atiyah, N. J. Hitchin, and I. M. Singer, *Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **362** (1978), no. 1711, 425–461.

[Ati79] M. F. Atiyah, *Geometry on Yang-Mills fields*, Scuola Normale Superiore Pisa, Pisa, 1979.

[Bai92] Paul Baird, *Riemannian twistors and Hermitian structures on low-dimensional space forms*, J. Math. Phys. **33** (1992), no. 10, 3340–3355.

[Bes87] Arthur L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer, Berlin, 1987.

[Bis64] Errett Bishop, *Conditions for the analyticity of certain sets*, Michigan Math. J. **11** (1964), 289–304.

[Bou81] Jean-Pierre Bourguignon, *Les variétés de dimension* 4 *à signature non nulle dont la courbure est harmonique sont d'Einstein*, Invent. Math. **63** (1981), no. 2, 263–286.

[Boy86] Charles P. Boyer, *Conformal duality and compact complex surfaces*, Math. Ann. **274** (1986), no. 3, 517–526.

[Boy88] ———, *Self-dual and anti-self-dual Hermitian metrics on compact complex surfaces*, Mathematics and general relativity (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986), Contemp. Math., vol. 71, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988, pp. 105–114.

[Bre93] Glen E. Bredon, *Topology and geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 139, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[BW03a] Paul Baird and John C. Wood, *Harmonic morphisms and shear-free ray congruences*, arXiv.org:math/0306390, 2003.

[BW03b] ———, *Harmonic morphisms between Riemannian manifolds*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 29, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003.

[CGS89] Luis A. Caffarelli, Basilis Gidas, and Joel Spruck, *Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **42** (1989), no. 3, 271–297.

[Che79] Shiing Shen Chern, *Complex manifolds without potential theory*, second ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979, With an appendix on the geometry of characteristic classes, Universitext.

[dBN98] Paolo de Bartolomeis and Antonella Nannicini, *Introduction to the differential geometry of twistor spaces*, Geometric Theory of Singular Phenomena in P.D.E., Symposia Math., vol. 38, Cambidge University Press, 1998, pp. 91–160.

[Der83] Andrzej Derdziński, *Self-dual Kähler manifolds and Einstein manifolds of dimension four*, Compositio Math. **49** (1983), no. 3, 405–433.

[EG92] Lawrence C. Evans and Ronald F. Gariepy, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.

[ES85] James Eells and Simon Salamon, *Twistorial constructions of harmonic maps of surfaces into four-manifolds*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa **12** (1985), 589–640.

[Gau96] Paul Gauduchon, *Complex structures on compact conformal manifolds of negative type*, Complex analysis and geometry (Trento, 1993), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 173, Dekker, New York, 1996, pp. 201–212.

[GH94] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1994, Reprint of the 1978 original.

[GHR84] S. J. Gates, C. M. Hull, and M. Roček, *Twisted multiplets and new supersymmetric nonlinear σ-models*, Nuclear Phys. B **248** (1984), 157–186.

[Gun90] Robert C. Gunning, *Introduction to holomorphic functions of several variables. Vol. II*, The Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Mathematics Series, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Advanced Books & Software, Monterey, CA, 1990, Local theory.

[GW93] Sigmundur Gudmundsson and John C. Wood, *Multivalued harmonic morphisms*, Math. Scand. **73** (1993), no. 1, 127–155.

[Hel01] Sigurdur Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 34, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

[Hit] Nigel Hitchin, *Bihermitian metrics on Del Pezzo surfaces*, math.DG/0608213.

[HJ85] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.

[HK79] Fujitsugu Hosokawa and Akio Kawauchi, *Proposals for unknotted surfaces in four-spaces*, Osaka J. Math. **16** (1979), no. 1, 233–248.

[Kob95] Shoshichi Kobayashi, *Transformation groups in differential geometry*, Springer, Berlin, 1995.

[Kob99] Piotr Kobak, *Explicit doubly-hermitian metrics*, Differential Geom. Appl. **10** (1999), 179–185.

[Laf82] Jacques Lafontaine, *Remarques sur les variétés conformément plates*, Math. Ann. **259** (1982), no. 3, 313–319.

[Mum95] David Mumford, *Algebraic geometry. I*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995, Complex projective varieties.

[Nay97] Shin Nayatani, *Patterson-Sullivan measure and conformally flat metrics*, Math. Z. **225** (1997), no. 1, 115–131.

[Oba72] Morio Obata, *The conjectures on conformal transformations of Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **6** (1971/72), 247–258.

[Pol04] Tiziano Politi, *A continuous approach for the computation of the hyperbolic singular value decomposition*, Computational science—ICCS 2004. Part IV, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 3039, Springer, Berlin, 2004, pp. 467–474.

[Pon92a] Massimiliano Pontecorvo, *On twistor spaces of anti-self-dual Hermitian surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **331** (1992), no. 2, 653–661.

[Pon92b] ______, *Uniformization of conformally flat Hermitian surfaces*, Differential Geom. Appl. **2** (1992), no. 3, 295–305.

[Pon97] ______, *Complex structures on Riemannian four-manifolds*, Math. Ann. **309** (1997), no. 1, 159–177.

[Rol90] Dale Rolfsen, *Knots and links*, Mathematics Lecture Series, vol. 7, Publish or Perish Inc., Houston, TX, 1990.

[RS53] Reinhold Remmert and Karl Stein, *Über dei wesentlichen Singularitäten analytischer Mengen*, Math. Ann. **126** (1953), 263–306.

[Shi68] Bernard Shiffman, *On the removal of singularities of analytic sets*, Michigan Math. J. **15** (1968), 111–120.

[Ste51] Norman Steenrod, *The Topology of Fibre Bundles*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 14, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1951.

[Str80] Gilbert Strang, *Linear algebra and its applications*, second ed., Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1980.

[SY88] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *Conformally flat manifolds, Kleinian groups and scalar curvature*, Invent. Math. **92** (1988), no. 1, 47–71.

[SY94] ———, *Lectures on differential geometry*, Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology, I, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.

[Tan72] Shŭkichi Tanno, 4-*dimensional conformally flat Kähler manifolds*, Tŏhoku Math. J. (2) **24** (1972), 501–504.

[Thu35] Peter Thullen, *Über die wesentlichen Singularitäten analytischer Funktionen und Flächen im Raume von n komplexen Veränderlichen*, Math. Ann. **111** (1935), no. 1, 137–157.

[Vai82] Izu Vaisman, *Generalized Hopf manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata **13** (1982), no. 3, 231–255.

[Woo92] John C. Wood, *Harmonic morphisms and Hermitian structures on Einstein* 4-*manifolds*, Internat. J. Math. **3** (1992), no. 3, 415–439.

SIMON SALAMON, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, POLITECNICO DI TORINO, CORSO DUCA DEGLI ABRUZZI 24, 10129 TORINO, ITALY.
E-mail address: `simon.salamon@polito.it`

JEFF VIACLOVSKY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIT, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WI, 53706
E-mail address: `jeffv@math.wisc.edu`